

Answers to questions

Municipal Services—mowing (Question No 68)

Mr Doszpot asked the Minister for Transport and City Services, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) Do all of the local city services depots that manage grass mowing and other maintenance services keep electronic records of the amount of staff time used on various tasks.
- (2) What is the list of tasks for which staff time is recorded eg grass mowing, shop cleaning etc.
- (3) Are these called GSO hours; if not, what are they called.
- (4) Are these records entered into electronic databases in the individual depots.
- (5) Are these records recorded electronically on a daily basis.
- (6) How much staff time was used for each of the tasks referred to in part (2) on a (a) weekly and (b) monthly basis from 1 July 2016 to 30 November 2016.
- (7) Do all of the local city services depots that manage grass mowing and other maintenance services keep electronic records of the amount of machine time used on various tasks.
- (8) What machine time is recorded.

Ms Fitzharris: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Yes.
- (2) List of tasks comprise:
 - Asset maintenance
 - Cleaning
 - Horticultural maintenance
 - Litter removal
 - Mowing
 - Pest management
 - Weed control
 - Administration, including workplace health and safety, procurement, supervision/staff management, training, reporting, rostering, public inquiries and correspondence, budget management, site inspections.
- (3) No. They are called staff hours.
- (4) Yes.
- (5) No. The manually collected data is entered electronically each fortnight.

- (6) Indicative staff time recorded against each task is provided at **Attachment A**.
- (7) An electronic record is kept of engine hours for all equipment however the machine time is not broken down into specific tasks other than for mowing.
- (8) Engine hours are recorded.

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

Transport Canberra and City Services Directorate—Transport Canberra (Question No 84)

Mr Coe asked the Minister for Transport and City Services, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) What has been the total cost to establish Transport Canberra in the financial years of (a) 2015-16 and (b) 2016-17 to date.
- (2) Of the total cost spent to establish Transport Canberra in (a) 2015-16 and (b) 2016-17 to date, how much has been spent on (i) developing the branding for Transport Canberra, (ii) designing new uniforms for Transport Canberra staff, (iii) providing new uniforms for Transport Canberra staff, (iv) promotional material for Transport Canberra and (v) signage for Transport Canberra, including posters.
- (3) How much is projected to be spent for Transport Canberra in the remainder of the financial year (a) 2016-17 and (b) 2017-18 for (i) designing new uniforms for Transport Canberra staff, (ii) providing new uniforms for Transport Canberra staff and (iii) promotional material for Transport Canberra.
- (4) Have Transport Canberra staff been consulted on the development of new uniforms; if so, can the Minister outline the consultation process.
- (5) Can the Minister list any external organisations involved with or consulted about the development and procurement of new uniforms.
- (6) Can the Minister list the uniform items to be procured for Transport Canberra staff.

Ms Fitzharris: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) 2015-16 - \$686 137.57 and 2016-17 to date – \$40,757.

(2)

	(a) 2015-16	(b) 2016-17
(i)	\$84,216.00	nil
(ii)	nil	nil
(iii)	\$2,414.00	\$435.00
(iv)	\$40,960.45	nil
(v)	\$26,942.12	nil

- (3) (a)(b)(i) and (ii) See response below. Uniforms will be replaced as needed through the year as part of business as usual activities. (iii) Promotional material will be produced

as required and within existing budgets.

- (4) An Expression of Interest was sent to all staff asking for nominations for the Uniform Committee. The Director of Public Transport reviewed the nominations and selected 12 staff for the Committee. The committee was responsible for the development of a set uniform requirements that were used as part of the tender process. The committee was made up of male and female staff who were responsible for providing the information discussed at meetings to the other staff members.
- (5) No external organisations were consulted on the development of new uniforms.
- (6) Uniforms to be procured for Transport Canberra staff include:
 - shirts, combination of long and short sleeves
 - pants, shorts, skirts
 - polo shirts
 - cold weather jackets
 - cold weather vests
 - high visibility rain jackets
 - baseball caps
 - ties
 - sunglasses
 - socks

Government—rental arrangements (Question No 95)

Mr Wall asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 17 February 2017 (*redirected to the Minister for Economic Development*):

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 December 2016 to Colliers International (ACT) Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 December 2016 to Knight Frank Australia Pty, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 December 2016 to the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 December 2016 to The Trustee for Blackwall Telstra Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for the Blackwall Telstra Trust.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to Canberra Airport Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to

- Colliers International (ACT) Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to Raine and Horne Commercial Canberra, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to the Reserve Bank of Australia, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to Savills ACT Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to the Trustee for Debra Nominees No2 Trust and the Trustee for Nectaria Nominees No2 Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to the Trustee for the Scithom Unit Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for the Scithom Unit Trust.
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to Willemsen Property Corporation, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

(1) – (12) See Attachment A.

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

Government—rental arrangements (Question No 96)

Mr Wall asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 17 February 2017 (*redirected to the Minister for Economic Development*):

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to Canberra Airport Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to Knight Frank Australia, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to Raine and Horne Commercial Canberra, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each

property.

- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to the Reserve Bank of Australia, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to Savills ACT Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to the Trustee for Blackwall Telstra Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for the Blackwall Telstra Trust.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to the Trustee for Debra Nominees No2 Trust and The Trustee for Nectaria Nominees No2 Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to the Trustee for the Scithom Unit Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for the Scithom Unit Trust.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 November 2016 to Willemsen Property Corporation, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) – (10) See Attachment A.

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

Government—rental arrangements (Question No 97)

Mr Wall asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 17 February 2017 (*redirected to the Minister for Economic Development*):

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Canberra Airport Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Colliers International (ACT) Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.

- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Knight Frank Australia Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Raine and Horne Commercial Canberra, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Savills ACT Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Rolfe Property Services Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to the Trustee for Blackwall Telstra Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to, (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property and (c) who is the Trustee for 96 King William Street Trust.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to the Trustee for Debra Nominees No2 Trust and the Trustee for Nectaria Nominees No2 Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to the Trustee for the Scithom Unit Trust, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 October 2016 to Willemsen Property Corporation, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property?
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 27 October 2016 to SG Fleet Australia Pty Ltd, (a) what are the addresses of the properties that each rental payment relates to and (b) who is the owner or landlord of each property.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) – (12) See Attachment A.

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

**Government—rental arrangements
(Question No 98)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 July 2015 to Ray White Commercial, what (a) property was sold and (b) was the sale price of the property sold.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 July 2015 to Micromex Research, what was the market research for.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 July 2015 to Motivator Media Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were provided for the two payments and (b) was the nature of the goods or services provided.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 July 2015 to Regional Publishers Pty Ltd, what products or services were delivered as part of these payments.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 July 2015 to Hawkins Civil Pty Ltd, (a) what was this project for, (b) who are the joint partners and (c) how are costs distributed between partners.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 14 July 2015 to Pinc Group Pty Ltd, what were the advisory services for.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 July 2015 to Capital Education and Tourism, (a) what does this project seek to do and (b) who else was involved in the project.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 July 2015 to Out and About Landscapes, (a) what was this project for, (b) who are the joint partners and (c) how are costs distributed between partners.
- (9) In relation to payments made to from the ACT Government on 30 July 2015 to National Capital Attractions, at what stage is this project at.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) The property sold was Block 2 Section 200 Belconnen.
(b) The property sold for \$22,100,000.
- (2) The invoice is related to the annual satisfaction survey for the annual accountability indicators.
- (3) (a) These invoices were for an annual media plan to promote Canberra to key domestic target markets.
(c) The payments were for media buy.
- (4) (a) The payments were for a summer campaign to promote Canberra to key domestic target markets
(b) The payments were for media buy.
- (5) There was no payment made on 8 July 2015; however, as the question refers to joint partners it is assumed the question refers to a payment on 16 July 2015 by the

Education Directorate for Joint Funded Infrastructure Project - Calwell Primary School - Progress Payment 2 Erosion Works

- (a) This project was ground and hydraulic works undertaken to prevent erosion and address storm water management to prevent water flow onto a basket ball court and synthetic soccer field.
 - (b) The partners in the project were the Education Directorate and Calwell Primary School
 - (c) The Education Directorate contributed 94 per cent and Calwell Primary School contributed 6 per cent.
- (6) Pinc Group Pty Ltd was engaged as a senior commercial advisor for the ACT Courts Public Private Partnership Project.
- (7) (a) The National Capital Educational Tourism Project is an initiative that seeks to increase educational visits by Australian schools to the National Capital Region and foster an awareness of national identity and the educational opportunities for Australian schools.
- (b) This is a joint venture between National Capital Attractions Association and the ACT Government represented by Economic Development.
- (8) (a) This payment was for installation of a dirt bike track.
- (b) The partners in the project were the Education Directorate and Charnwood Dunlop Primary School.
- (c) The Education Directorate contributed 64 per cent and Charnwood Dunlop Primary School contributed 36 per cent.
- (9) The development of the online booking system is complete and testing has commenced internally. Training/testing of the system will commence in early April 2017, with the project expected to go live in the week commencing 24 April 2017.

Government—expenditure (Question No 99)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on (a) 4 August 2015 and (b) 27 August 2015 to Scinta Pty Ltd, what (i) goods or services were delivered for the payment and (ii) was the purpose of the goods or services.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 4 August 2015 to Canberra Convention Bureau, (a) what was the scope of the marketing program and (b) how are the costs shared in relation to the program.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) and (b) All these payments were for Contract Management Services provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (2) (a) and (b) Cooperative Marketing campaigns are one of a range of means utilised by the Government to promote Canberra as a business and tourism destination. As a

general principle, Cooperative Marketing campaigns are on the basis of dollar for dollar funding. This funding enabled enhanced marketing campaigns to be undertaken.

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 100)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 September 2015 to Talent International (ACT) Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) is the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 September 2015 to SMS Consulting Group Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) is the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 September 2015 to KPMG, what was the scope of the project.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 September 2015 to Victoria University, what was this report on.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 September 2015 to Talent International (ACT) Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 September 2015 to Sue Packer, what was the scope of this consultancy
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 September 2015 to SV Forum, (a) what was the trade mission for, (b) what was the total cost of the trade mission and (c) who attended the trade mission.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 September 2015 to Scinta Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) This payment was for Project Management Services and Business Analytical Services.
(b) The services provided were in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (2) (a) This payment was for Professional services - Data Governance Manager
(b) The services were provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (3) The project was to refine the current developed Student Resource (SRA) Allocation funding model (Stage 1) based on the methodologies and agreed parameters

developed by the SRA Project Team and its assessment for the 2015 school year

- (4) This report was on a Review of the Provision of Vocational Education and Training in ACT Public Schools.
- (5) (a) This payment was for Project Management Services and Business Analytical Services.
- (b) The services provided were in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (6) The terms of reference for the engagement of Sue Packer as an expert panel member were for her to:
- participate in the activities of the Expert Panel having regard to the role of the chairman of the Panel, and do all things reasonably necessary for the Expert Panel to undertake the activities prescribed for the Panel; and
 - participate in carrying out the work of the Expert Panel in the review including evaluations, research, consultations and other activities outlined in the Terms of Reference according to a timetable that will enable the Expert Panel to prepare the draft and final report.
- (7) (a) The objectives of the United States leg of the trade mission were to:
- promote and raise awareness of the ACT and region's strengths as a knowledge economy, a place to invest and establish business links;
 - grow awareness of Canberra's strengths in innovation, research and advanced technologies;
 - create a foundation for further collaboration and partnerships between Canberra business communities in San Francisco, San Jose and Austin;
 - progress discussions about the opportunity to engage more closely with Washington DC; and
 - support the efforts of the delegation of Canberra businesses in building networks, demonstrating technology, gaining insights into US industry best practice, exploring opportunities to access the US market and exploring opportunities for investment.
- (b) The total cost for the trade mission to the USA and Japan (San Francisco, Austin, Washington DC, Nara, and Tokyo) was \$212,997.
- (c) Attending the trade mission were:
- From Government:
 - Chief Minister;
 - Chief Minister's Chief of Staff;
 - Chief Digital Officer, CMTEDD;
 - Director-General Economic Development;
 - Senior Manager Invest Canberra, CMTEDD; and
 - Manager International Programs, CMTEDD.
 - Participating businesses:
 - QuintessenceLabs Pty Ltd;
 - DAMsmart;
 - Centre for Internet Safety;
 - Clarus Technologies;
 - Fyshh Pty Ltd;
 - HLS Vehicle Customisation;
 - CBR Innovation Network Limited;

- Power Saving Centre (Canberra) Pty Ltd;
 - IT Power (Australia) Pty Ltd;
 - National Capital Educational Tourism;
 - Delv Pty Ltd;
 - Domestic Commercial Solar & Electrics;
 - eReflect; Intelledox Pty Ltd;
 - iSimulate;
 - Link Web Services Pty Ltd;
 - Mineral Carbonation International;
 - Mobflic Pty Ltd; and
 - Web Active.
- (8) (a) This payment was for Contract Management Services.
- (b) The payment was for services provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 101)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 6 October 2015 to SMS Consulting Group Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 6 October 2015 to Leaves Away Pty Ltd, which schools were part of the invoice.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 October 2015 to Talent International (ACT) Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were provided and (b) was the purpose of these goods or services.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 October 2015 to Talent International (ACT) Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were provided and (b) was the purpose of these services.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 17 October 2015 to Trades and Labour Council of ACT Inc, (a) what goods or services were delivered, (b) what training was delivered, (c) how was the supplier chosen and (d) what period is the payment for.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 17 October 2015 to Professionals Holdings Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of these goods or services.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 October 2015 to Scinta Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of these goods or services.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) The payment to SMS Consulting Group Pty Ltd was for Professional Services – Data Governance Manager

- (b) The professional services were provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (2) The following schools were part of this payment to Leaves Away Pty Ltd:
- Aranda Primary;
 - Belconnen High;
 - Cranleigh School;
 - Erindale College;
 - Fadden Primary;
 - Farrer Primary;
 - Forrest Primary;
 - Gold Creek School - Junior Campus;
 - Gold Creek School - Senior Campus;
 - Gowrie Primary;
 - Hawker Primary;
 - Majura Primary;
 - Maribyrnong Primary;
 - Monash Primary;
 - Narrabundah College;
 - O’Connor Co-operative School (Including the Preschool);
 - Southern Cross Early Childhood School (Including the Preschool);
 - Telopea Park School (Includes Primary);
 - Aranda Preschool;
 - Fadden Preschool;
 - Farrer Preschool;
 - Gowrie Preschool;
 - Hall Preschool;
 - Maribyrnong Preschool;
 - Monash Preschool;
 - Nicholls Preschool; and
 - Watson Preschool.
- (3) (a) This payment was for Project Management Services and Business Analytical Services.
- (b) The payment was for services provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (4) There was no payment to Talent International (ACT) Pty Ltd on 22 October 2015. The only payment to this organisation in that month was on 20 October 2015; refer to the response in 3 above.
- (5) (a) The payment to the Trades & Labour Council of ACT Inc. on 27 October 2015 (not 17 October 2015) was a quarterly payment to UnionsACT for WHS Liaison Officer Functions.
- (b) This was a grant; no training was delivered
- (c) The Government funded UnionsACT directly as it considered they were in a unique position to deliver the service in view of its networks and trusted advisor status.
- The agreement between the Government and UnionsACT has been the subject of two independent reviews, in 2011 and 2014. Both reviews supported the effectiveness and continuation of the arrangement.

Information regarding the Grant has been released under Freedom of Information and is publically available on the Freedom of Information page of the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate's website at http://www.cmd.act.gov.au/open_government/foi/cmteedd/funding-of-workplace-health-and-safety-liaison-officer

- (d) The payment was for the period July to September 2015.
- (6) (a) This payment to Professionals Holdings Pty Ltd on 27 October 2015 was for program manager professional services.
(b) The services were provided for the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.
- (7) (a) This payment was for Contract Management Services.
(b) The payment was for services provided in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.

Government—expenditure (Question No 102)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 3 November 2015 to Indec Consulting, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 3 November 2015 to Dan and Dan Landscaping Pty Ltd, (a) what was the project for, (b) who are the joint partners and (c) how are costs distributed between partners.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 November 2015 to Dynamic Sports Facilities Pty Ltd, (a) what was the project for, (b) who are the joint partners and (c) how are costs distributed between partners.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 November 2015 to PriceWaterhouseCoopers – Australia Firm, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of these goods or services.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 November 2015 to the Trustee for Birdanco Practice Trust, what (a) goods or services were delivered and (b) was the purpose of these goods or services.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 12 November 2015 to Pet Tech Pty Ltd, what (a) was the total cost of the contract and (b) is the system for.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 19 November 2015 to Quality Learning Australia Pty Ltd, what was the invoice for.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 November 2015 to Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd, what was the scope of the assessment.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) This was a progress payment for consultancy services.
 - (b) The services were delivered by Indec as the Technical Advisor(s) for the Sustainable Delivery of Public School Facilities project (Contract 2015.26243.002).

 - (2) (a) This project was for the installation of a nature garden to mitigate erosion and trip hazards.
 - (b) The Education Directorate and Monash Primary School were the joint partners.
 - (c) The Education Directorate paid 75 per cent and Monash Primary School paid 25 per cent.

 - (3) (a) The project was for installation of a multi-purpose hard court with a focus on netball physical education activities.
 - (b) The partners were the Education Directorate and Yarralumla Primary School.
 - (c) The Education Directorate paid 67 per cent and Yarralumla Primary School paid 33 per cent.

 - (4) (a) This was a progress payment for advisory services.
 - (b) Payment was made to PriceWaterhouseCoopers for advice provided regarding the applicability of the Goods and Services Tax in connection with the ACT Land Rent Scheme.

 - (5) (a) This was a progress payment for consultancy Services.
 - (b) Payment was made to RSM Bird Cameron for consultancy services to assist with the development of the Channel Management Strategy in relation to the Revenue Collection Transformation Program.

 - (6) (a) The total cost of the contract was \$5.1 million.
 - (b) The contract was for a contract management system for ACT Vocational Education and Training Administration of Records Management System (AVETARS).

 - (7) The payment was for leading the external validation process in ACT public schools. Under section 23 of the *ACT Education Act 2004* schools are required to be reviewed at least once every five years.

 - (8) The scope was to develop questions to be included in test papers for the ACT Scaling Test (AST) to be used as the basis for scaling the scores of Year 12 students attending ACT colleges and associated overseas schools, for aggregation and calculation of the Australian Tertiary Admission Rank (ATAR), as required by required by the ACT Board of Senior Secondary Studies.
-

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 103)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 December 2015 to Goldsmith Civil and Environmental, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 December 2015 to International Asbestos Removal Pty Ltd, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 December 2015 to Robson Environmental, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 December 2015 to KPMG, what was the scope of the consultation.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 3 December 2015 to Dale and Hitchcock Civil Engineering and LandSc, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 December 2015 to Dale and Hitchcock Civil Engineering and LandSc, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 December 2015 to Goldsmith Civil and Environmental, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 December 2015 to PriceWaterhouseCooper – Australian Firm, what (a) goods or services were delivered, (b) was the purpose of these goods or services and (c) was the scope of the engagement.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 December 2015 to Dale and Hitchcock Civil Engineering and LandSc, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 December 2015 to International Asbestos Removal Pty Ltd, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 December 2015 to Gate Ways Education, what (a) products, programs or services were delivered and (b) were the terms of the contract.
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 December 2015 to Dale and Hitchcock Civil Engineering and LandSc, (a) what was each invoice for, (b) what was each date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged at each time.
- (13) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2015 to Trades and Labour Council of ACT Inc, what (a) training is being delivered and (b) period is the payment for.
- (14) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2015 to

The WorkSydney Pty Ltd, what was delivered in exchange for each payment.

- (15) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2015 to the Australian Council for Educational Research, what is this contract for.
- (16) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2015 to the Australian National University, what (a) is the scope of the project and (b) is the total cost of the project.
- (17) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 December 2015 to C M Dale and Hitchcock and A M McKenna, (a) what was the invoice for, (b) what was the date of service delivery and (c) how was the supplier engaged.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) There were three payments to Goldsmiths Civil and Environmental on 1 December 2015.
- (a) The payments were for:
- (i) (Payment from CMTEDD-ED) the use of dump trucks to cart waste from the Parkwood Recycling Estate to the Belconnen Waste Resource Centre (WRC), and management of waste within the WRC.
 - (ii) (Payment from TAMS for \$43,576.01) site management fee (Contract 2015.25200.210).
 - (iii) (Payment from TAMS for \$29,503.00) construction of the leachate control area for the disposal of Mr Fluffy material.
- (b) (i) The services at Parkwood were delivered on 20 and 22 November 2015.
- (ii) This invoice was for site management services for October 2015.
 - (iii) This invoice was for construction in October 2015.
- (c) (i) Goldsmiths Civil and Environment retain a contract for material movement within the West Belconnen Waste Resource Centre, following a public tender.
- (ii) These services were procured following an open tender.
 - (iii) The supplier was engaged under the 'Additional Services' provision in Contract 2015.25200.210.
- (2) (a) This invoice was for brushing down trucks, and supervision and advice for removal of contaminated material as required.
- (b) The services were delivered on 7, 11 and 20 November 2015.
- (c) The services were an urgent requirement due to fire and were acquired through a work order following direct sourcing (hourly rates).
- (3) (a) This payment was for supervision of contaminated waste removal services.
- (b) The services were provided on 11 and 27 November 2015.
 - (c) The services were an urgent requirement due to fire and were acquired through a work order following direct sourcing (hourly rates).
- (4) This consultancy was for the National Partnership Agreement on Empowering Local Decisions.

- (5) (a) This payment was for the removal of contaminated waste.
(b) The services were provided on 4 and 8 November 2015.
(c) Dale & Hitchcock Civil Engineering & Landscaping was selected from a panel of contractors established following a public tender.
- (6) (a) This payment was for a clean-up after a fire at the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
(b) The services were provided on 12 November 2015.
(c) The supplier was selected from a panel of contractors established following a public tender.
- (7) (a) This payment was for clean-up and disposal of rubbish from the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
(b) The services were delivered on 27 and 29 November 2015.
(c) Goldsmiths Civil and Environment retain contract for material movement within Belconnen Waste Resource Centre following a public tender.
- (8) (a) This payment was for internal audit services.
(b) The purpose of the services was to provide two internal compliance audits.
(c) The two internal compliance audits covered compliance with record keeping processes for cabinet documents; and grants and contract expenditure forecasting.
- (9) (a) This payment was for clean-up after a fire at the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
(b) The services were provided on 13 and 15 November 2015.
(c) The supplier was selected from a panel of contractors established following a public tender.
- (10) (a) This payment was for supervision and advice for the removal of asbestos from the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
(b) The services were delivered on 21 November and 4 December 2015.
(c) The services were an urgent requirement due to fire and were acquired through a work order following direct sourcing (hourly rates).
- (11) (a) This contract was for delivery of workshops on the Implementation Support for the Gifted and Talented Student Policy.
(b) The standard ACT Government Services contract was used (Contract No ES2014.011).
- (12) (a) Each of the five payments were for clean-up after a fire at the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
(b) The services for the invoices were delivered on:
– 4 and 6 December 2015;
– 23 and 24 November 2015;
– 20 and 22 November 2015;
– 27 and 29 November 2015; and

- 7 December 2015.
 - (c) The supplier was selected from a panel of contractors established following a public tender.
- (13) (a) This was a quarterly payment to UnionsACT for WHS Liaison Officer Functions; no training was involved.
- (b) The payment was for the period October to December 2015.
- (14) These invoices were for development of key content and assets for the destinations new marketing platform (the suite of creative assets (including print, digital, video, etc.) for VisitCanberra's new marketing platform: 'One Good Thing After Another').
- Invoice 1(\$56,743.50): Video itineraries - digital scoping
 - Invoice 2: (\$48,823.50): Video itineraries
 - Invoice 3: (\$49,940): Video itineraries
- (15) This contract is for the development, provision and marking of the ACT Scaling Test.
- (16) (a) The funds were used for a collaborative study between ACT Health and ANU for 'The genetic structure and prevalence of antibiotic resistant Eschericia coli in poultry meat products in the Canberra region'. The project had ethics approval and the funding was approved through the Private Practice Fund administration. The invoice was for ANU to purchase consumables for the project the provision of all materials required for the collaborative study.
- (b) The total cost of the project was \$31,390.24
- (17) (a) This payment was for clean-up after a fire at the Parkwood Recycling Estate.
- (b) The services were delivered on 9 November 2015.
- (c) The supplier was selected from a panel of contractors established following a public tender.

Government—expenditure (Question No 104)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 January 2016 to Aus Recent Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the advice.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 January 2016 to Medium Rare Content Agency Pty Ltd, what goods, products or services were delivered.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 12 January 2016 to Marsh Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the advice.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Study Canberra engaged AusRecent to establish, operate and maintain Chinese social media platforms (Weibo, Wechat) and a Chinese language version of its web site

hosted in China, created and tailored specifically on platforms that meet Chinese Government requirements. The invoice was for delivery of social media accounts for Study Canberra in China to ensure that Study Canberra and ACT providers are speaking to our key market in their own languages and across social media platforms they can access.

- (2) This payment was for print and digital versions of VisitCanberra's flagship publication: 2017 Canberra Visitor Guide.
- (3) The invoice was for consultant fees for the provision of services as Workers' Compensation Insurance Policy Advisor to the Territory. The advice details are confidential.

Government—expenditure (Question No 105)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 February 2016 to Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd, what was this payment for.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 February 2016 to Monarch Building Solutions Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 4 February 2016 to Can Disk Chemicals Pl ta The Cleaning Warehouse, what was the purpose of this purchase.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 February 2016 to Annasson Painting and Maintenance Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 February 2016 to ARIS Building Services Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 February 2016 to the Australian National University, what was the scope of the project.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 February 2016 to University of Western Sydney, what is the scope of this work.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 February 2016 to Hay Group Pty Ltd, what is the scope of this work?
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 February 2016 to Monarch Building Solutions Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 February 2016 to Woods Furniture Pty Ltd, what is the purpose of this purchase.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 February 2016 to Worksydney Pty Ltd, what goods or services were delivered.
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 February 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what upgrade work was undertaken for each payment.

- (13) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 18 February 2016 to Binutti Constructions Pty Limited, (a) what was the project for, (b) who are the joint partners and (c) how are costs distributed between partners.
- (14) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 February 2016 to Pro Plumbing and Gasfitting Trust, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (15) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 February 2016 to RADMO Construction Australia Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (16) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 February 2016 to Worksydney Pty Ltd, what goods or services were delivered.
- (17) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 February 2016 to Tri-Delt Pty Ltd T/A Quay Building Group, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (18) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 February 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what upgrade work was undertaken for each payment.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) This payment was for delivery of the Canberra Teachers Recruitment Assessment.
- (2) This invoice was for upgrade of the Belconnen Regional Trades Skill Centre – refurbishment of Home Economics at University of Canberra Lake Ginninderra College and University of Canberra Kaleen High School.
- (3) This purchase was a of petrol ride-on hydraulic vacuum cleaner by Gungahlin College for cleaning of the gymnasium floor.
- (4) This payment was for external painting at Gold Creek School.
- (5) These payments were for door and window replacement at Stromlo High, Garran Primary and The Woden School.
- (6) This project was a Trauma Understanding and Sensitive Teaching pilot program.
- (7) The Early Childhood Schools and Koori Preschool Program project outcomes were the development of key outcomes and benefits in line with the 2012 Attorney General's Report recommendations for the review and evaluation of both the Early Childhood Schools and Koori Preschool Program.
- (8) This contract was for consultant services for School Leadership Strategy including working closely with the Territory to deliver a leadership strategy focused on meeting the most critical requirements for new and existing leaders
- (9) This was invoice claim No. 2 for the Belconnen Regional Trades Skill Centre – refurbishment of Home Economics at University of Canberra Lake Ginninderra College and University of Canberra Kaleen High School.
- (10) This payment was for replacement classroom furniture for Senior and Junior school students at Gold Creek School due to ageing stock.
- (11) These payments were for:

Invoice 1 (\$78,628.00) – Video Itineraries – video pre-production
Invoice 2 (\$57,849.00) – Video Itineraries – digital development.

- (12) These were progress payments for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
- (13) (a) This payment was for the upgrade of the Telopea Park School library.
(b) The partners were the Education Directorate and Telopea Park School.
(c) The Education Directorate paid 67 per cent and Yarralumla Primary School paid 33 per cent.
- (14) This payment was to repair leaking shut-off valves at Kingsford Smith School.
- (15) This payment was for window replacement at Erindale College.
- (16) These payments were for development of key content and assets for the new destination marketing platform, One Good Thing After Another.
Invoice 1 (\$49,940) was for video itineraries.
Invoice 2 (\$69,542) was for video itineraries.
- (17) This payment was for the supply and installation of welded heavy duty box section steel doors at Lanyon High School.
- (18) These were progress payments for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 106)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 March 2016 to KPMG, what is the scope of this work.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 March 2016 to Quay Building Group, what is the scope of this work.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 March 2016 to Dynamic Sports Facilities Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 March 2016 to Three's a Crowd Influential Design Pty, what (a) was the scope of this work and (b) was the purpose of this.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 3 March 2016 to Glendening Commercial Painting and Maintenance Pty Ltd, what is the scope of this work.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 4 March 2016 to FSP Australia Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were provided and (b) school was supplied.

- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 March 2016 to Base Constructions Pty Ltd, what is the purpose of this work.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 March 2016 to ARIS Building Services Pty Ltd, what upgrade work was undertaken.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 March 2016 to Anglicare NSW South NSW West and ACT, what programs are provided.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 March 2016 to KPMG, what is the scope of this work.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 March 2016 to Perimetech, which school was the work carried out.
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 24 March 2016 to Monarch Building Solutions Pty Ltd, what is the purpose of this work.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) KPMG was engaged by to assist in the design of Access Canberra's future operating model and high level organisation design. The engagement also assessed the current structure.
- (2) This invoice was for the removal of old carpet and installation of new carpet at Narrabundah College.
- (3) This payment was for installation of a multi-purpose hard court with a focus on netball physical education activities at Yarralumla Primary School.
- (4) (a) The scope of this procurement was the production of an infographic illustrating the Digital Backpack, which is used to communicate the 'Learn Anywhere' key message.
(b) The purpose of this procurement was to produce the 'A day in the life of' video/poster
- (5) This payment was for internal painting of Telopea Park School.
- (6) (a) This payment was for purchase of lockers for year 6 students to provide secure storage for personal effects as classrooms are open plan.
(b) The lockers were provided to Namadgi School.
- (7) This was a progress payment. The purpose of this work was to design and construct a small car park and bin enclosure at the Woden School. This invoice was notified incorrectly and does not accurately reflect the description of services provided. Procurement and Capital Works is currently amending this error for accurate reflection of payments made.
- (8) This payment was for the replacement of eaves at Garran Primary School.
- (9) This payment was for a Deed of Grant, funded via a Commonwealth agreement with the ACT Government under the National School Chaplaincy Program 2015-2018.

- (10) This work was for Infrastructure Advisory Services for the Australia Forum Strategic and Delivery Options Analysis report.
 - (11) This payment was for work at the Kingsford Smith School.
 - (12) This payment was for a foyer upgrade at the Blaxland Centre, Griffith.
-

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 107)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 April 2016 to Ernst and Young, what (a) goods or services were provided and (b) was the nature of the provided goods or services.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 April 2016 to Away We Go Tours, what (a) was the scope of goods or services delivered and (b) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 April 2016 to Trotex Laser Pty Ltd, what (a) was the purpose of the goods or services delivered, (b) school/s received the goods or services from this transaction and (c) did the school/s get out of this transaction.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 27 April 2016 to Scenic Constructions, (a) what was the scope of goods or services delivered, (b) why did the ceiling in the classroom need fixing and (c) which school had to have their classroom ceiling fixed.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 28 April 2016 to XACT Project Consultations Pty Ltd, what was the scope of each of the goods or services provided per payment.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 29 April 2016 to Hood's Carpet Court, what was the scope of goods or services delivered.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) This invoice was payment for commercial advisory services.
(b) The commercial advice was on a matter subject to the deliberations of Government.
- (2) As there were no payments to Away We Go Tours on this date, a response is provided for the entries on 7 and 29 April 2016, by the Education Directorate:
 - (a) The scope of the procurements was:
 - (i) (7 April 2016 – \$42,196.00) Garran Primary School Year 5/6 student camp; three day outdoor pursuit program for 137 students (beginning April 2016)
 - (ii) (7 April 2016 – \$30,657.40) Arawang Primary School Year 5/6 student camp; three day outdoor pursuit program for 103 students (late April 2016)
 - (iii) (29 April 2016 – \$45,222.80) Curtin Primary School Year 5/6 student camp; three days Snowy Mountains (May 2016).

- (b) The purpose for each payment was the provision of transport, accommodation, meals and program activities.
- (3) (a) The payment to Trotec Laser Pty Ltd was for a laser cutter for technology studies in metalwork and woodwork
 - (b) The purchase was for Amaroo School
 - (c) The new equipment has expanded Amaroo School's industrial arts courses offering.
- (4) (a) This payment was for ceiling repairs and acoustic treatment in science labs 1 and 2.
 - (b) There were safety issues with ceiling panels falling in the science labs.
 - (c) The payment was for repairs at Telopea Park School.
- (5) These invoices relate to the work undertaken by Xact Project Consultants Pty Ltd to support the activities of the Asbestos Response Taskforce, namely to develop and administer a project management system to support the safe, effective and efficient demolition of up to 1000 loose-fill asbestos affected houses across the Canberra Community, including oversight for the implementation of an electronic project management software suite for use by the Asbestos Response Taskforce to monitor the progress of demolitions.
- (6) This payment was to replace ageing carpet in the year 1 area at Chapman Primary School.

Government—expenditure (Question No 108)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 3 May 2016 to Robson Environmental, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 4 May 2016 to Robson Environmental, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were delivered for each payment.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 May 2016 to SMEC, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were delivered for each payment.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 May 2016 to Pyrosolv Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 12 May 2016 to COBUL, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 12 May 2016 to MINDAL Constructions, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were carried out for each transaction.

- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 12 May 2016 to Canberra Building Services Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 17 May 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 17 May 2016 to Sewer Services Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were delivered for each payment.
- (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 17 May 2016 to COLDA Constructions, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on (a) 19 May 2016 and (b) 24 May 2016 to Carrier Australia Pty Ltd, what (i) was the scope of the contract and (ii) goods or services were delivered.
- (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 24 May 2016 to COLDA Constructions, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (13) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 May 2016 to FMA ACT Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (14) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 May 2016 to Robert Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (15) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 May 2016 to Robson Environmental, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were delivered for each payment.
- (16) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 May 2016 to Tri-Delt Pty Ltd T/A Quay Building Group, what (a) is the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (17) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 31 May 2016 to Binutti Constructions Pty Ltd, (a) what was the scope of the contract, (b) what goods or services were delivered and (c) at what school was the upgrade for.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) This contract was to remove and remediate an underground storage tank at Chapman Primary School.
(b) The payment was for tank excavation.
- (2) (a) These contracts were to remove and remediate underground storage tanks at Hughes Primary School and Stromlo High School.
(b) The payments were partial payments for tank excavation at each location.

- (3) (a) These payments were to remove and remediate underground storage tanks at Macquarie Primary School, Latham Primary School, Miles Franklin Primary School, Lyneham High School, and Campbell Primary School.
- (b) The payments were for removal of underground storage tanks at each location.
- (4) (a) The first payment relates to a contract for fire protection – monthly preventative maintenance of fire services and equipment. The upgrade work at Stromlo High School was to replace a panel after a lightning strike.
- (b) The January 2015 test of the fire system at Stromlo High School found that the panel had been hit by lightning and was not functioning, and the lockdown system was also damaged. The goods and services delivered were:
- replacement of the old Fire Indicator Panel (FIP) & Occupant Warning System (OWS) with a new Pertronic F120A and OWS;
 - removal of the FIP cabinets and construction of a new frame in the wall;
 - replacement of the door holder power supply;
 - testing all zones against the Block Plan to ensure they were correct;
 - replacement of the lockdown system and microphone with a new version;
 - changing the bell tone and reinstating to the original tone when the new tone proved incompatible.
- (5) (a) This was a progress payment for the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works (Work Order 25822 under Contract 2015.25045.110.04).
- (b) The services delivered were project management of the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
- (6) (a) These contracts were for window and door upgrades at Caroline Chisholm School and Chapman Primary School.
- (b) The payments were for door and window replacement at each location.
- (7) (a) This contract was for to supply and install external cladding to a classroom at Theodore Primary School.
- (b) The payment was for the supply and installation of external cladding.
- (8) See response to 5) above.
- (9) (a) These contracts were to undertake audit of sewer and stormwater lines following blockages, at Melrose High School.
- (b) Payments were for the delivery of audit of sewer and stormwater lines.
- (10) (a) The contract for this payment was for door and window upgrade/replacement at Stromlo High School.
- (b) The payment was for the replacement of seven sets of doors.
- (11) (a) (i) The contract was for the installation of Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) at Caroline Chisholm Primary School.
- (ii) The payment was for replacement of an air conditioner.
- (b) (i) This contract was for installation of HVAC at Lyneham Primary School.
- (ii) The payment was for the upgrade of the existing air handling unit.

- (12) (a) This contract was for painting – internal and external, and replacement of floor coverings at Wanniasa Hills Primary School.
- (b) The payment was for painting – internal and external, and replacement of floor coverings.
- (13) (a) The contract with FMS ACT Pty Ltd was for recommissioning the air handling unit at the Headley Beare Centre and monitoring the system.
- (b) The services delivered were air handling unit recommissioning and monitoring of the system.
- (14) (a) The contract with Robert John Reeves was for the upgrade of the Mt Majura walking trail.
- (b) The services delivered included grading of track, installing drainage, and clearing brush.
- (15) (a) These contracts were to remove and remediate underground storage tanks at Wanniasa Senior School and Wanniasa Junior School.
- (b) The payments were for tank excavation at each location.
- (16) (a) The contract was for carpet replacement due to leaking heaters and roof at Melrose High School.
- (b) They payment was for carpet replacement.
- (17) (a) The contract for this payment was for Joint Facility Canteen upgrade for use by the Gold Creek Junior School and the Holy Spirit Primary School to improve the safety, functionality and aesthetics of the joint facility.
- (b) The payment was for refurbishment works, including replacement of existing stainless steel benches, new cupboards, painting, and a new access door for deliveries, to accord with health regulations.
- (c) The facility upgrade was at the Gold Creek Junior School and the Holy Spirit Primary School (shared campus).

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 109)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 June 2016 to Deakin University, (a) who attended the forum and (b) how were the participants selected.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 5 June 2016 to Woods Furniture Pty Ltd, (a) what was the scope of the contract, (b) what goods or services were delivered and (c) at what school was the upgrade for.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 June 2016 to Robson Environmental, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 June 2016 to Complex

- Civil Pty Ltd, what capital upgrades were carried out.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 7 June 2016 to SMI Group Pty Ltd, what capital upgrades were carried out for each payment.
 - (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 June 2016 to Tri-Delt Pty T/A Quay Building Group, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
 - (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 13 June 2016 to Royal Life Saving Society Australia ACT Branch Inc, what schools participated in the program.
 - (8) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 14 June 2016 to AGH Demolition & Asbestos Removal Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
 - (9) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 14 June 2016 to Coffee Environments Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were carried out for the payment.
 - (10) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 June 2016 to ARIS Building Services Pty Ltd, what (a) what was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
 - (11) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 June 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what (a) was the scope of each of the contracts and (b) goods or services were carried out for each payment.
 - (12) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 21 June 2016 to Canberra Building Services (ACT) Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
 - (13) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 21 June 2016 to Gerard Coffey, what goods or services were delivered.
 - (14) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 21 June 2016 to Complex Civil Pty Ltd, what capital upgrades were carried out.
 - (15) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 June 2016 to SMEC, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.
 - (16) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 June 2016 to Changels Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the contract.
 - (17) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 28 June 2016 to Blair M Wilson & Associates Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the contract and (b) goods or services were delivered.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) The forum was attended by 22 Public School Business Managers.
- (b) Individual schools sponsored attendance of their Business Managers.

- (2) (a) The payment to Woods Furniture Pty Ltd on 5 May 2016 was for student classroom furniture (Eureka tables, Conundrum tables, LupoGlide chairs, mobile storage trolleys with tubs).
(b) The furniture was for Gold Creek Junior School.
- (3) (a) The contracts were to investigate and remove underground storage tanks at the Lyons Early Childhood Centre and Mawson Primary School.
(b) The payments were for the provision of Hazardous Materials and Items (HAZMAT) clearance at each location.
- (4) The payments for capital upgrades to Complex Civil Pty Ltd were for improvements to the stormwater cut off drain at Fisken Crescent, Kambah (Contract 2016.27117.110).
- (5) This was a progress payment for works undertaken for the Capital Upgrade Program involving various CIT Campuses. The capital upgrades carried out were: installation of and remodel of kitchenette; the supply and installation of two (2) motorised roller shutters; installation of new water and drainage provisions for the new kitchenette; and ceiling tile and insulation installed at CIT Bruce.
- (6) (a) This contract was for carpet replacement due to leaking heaters and roof at Melrose High School.
(b) The payment was for carpet replacement.
- (7) The Aquasafe Swimming Program runs from 7 May 2015 to 31 December 2017 with two (2) x 12 month extension options. Participation is by all public primary schools.
- (8) (a) This contract was for removal of asbestos vinyl floor as part of the demolition of ACT Housing properties.
(b) The invoice was for asbestos vinyl floor removal.
- (9) (a) The contract with Coffey Environments Pty Ltd was for the removal of two underground storage tanks at Erindale College.
(b) The payment was for Principal Contractor responsibilities and environmental assessments for the storage tank removals.
- (10) (a) The contract for the Corroboree Park Hall was to replace downpipe, painting, and chimney structure. The contract for Miles Franklin School was to supply and install new stairs-deck and balustrade.
(b) The services delivered were drainage upgrade (Corroboree Park Hall) and supply and installation of new stairs-deck and balustrade (Miles Franklin School).
- (11) (a) These were progress payments for the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works (Work Order 25822 under Contract 2015.25045.110.04).
(b) The services delivered were project management of the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
- (12) (a) This contract was to supply and install external cladding to a classroom at Theodore Primary School.

- (b) The payment was for the supply and installation of external cladding.
- (13) This invoice payment was for a senior project manager consultancy, for the provision of professional services relating to arranging:
- tender documents for the construction of Majura Link Road;
 - drafting a feasibility study project brief for Horse Park Drive Pedestrian Overpass;
 - Project Managing detail design of Molonglo 3 Infrastructure (arterial road and two signalisation intersections); and
 - overseeing the construction of the Majura Road widening project.
- (14) The capital upgrades carried out were for improvements to the stormwater cut-off drain at Fiske Crescent, Kambah (Contract 2016.27117.110).
- (15) (a) The contract for Lyneham High School was to remove and remediate underground storage tank. The contract for Bridge Strengthening was for designs for strengthening of 10 existing bridges on the B-Double network in order to increase the load rating of these bridges to SM1600
- (b) The payment for Lyneham High School was for removal of an underground storage tank. The payment for Bridge Strengthening was for Design Readiness design drawings and design report for the strengthening of the ten bridges.
- (16) This invoice payment was for a culture development coach, who facilitated three workshops with the Transport Canberra City Services Executive, working on the ACT Government Capital Metro project. The workshop included some individual assessment and individual coaching.
- (17) (a) This project was for the design consultancy and the development design and construction, for modernisation at Belconnen High School (Contract 2016.27500.300).
- (b) The payment was a progress payment for Architectural and Sub-Consultant Fees.

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 110)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 14 July 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what (a) was the scope of each contract and (b) goods or services were delivered for each payment.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 19 July 2016 to Security 1 (ACT) PTY Ltd, what was the reason for purchasing these goods or services.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 July 2016 to Canberra Visuals Pty Ltd, what (a) was the scope of the goods or services delivered and (b) school was this payment concerning.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 July 2016 to Everloch Electrical, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) and (b) These were progress payments for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
 - (2) The purchase of New General Packet Radio Service communication units coincided with a new service contract requiring the upgrade of equipment from 2G to 3G.
 - (3) (a) This payment was for replacement of existing audio visual equipment in the school hall.
(b) The payment was for Hughes Primary School.
 - (4) Electrical work undertaken at Canberra College.
-

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 111)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 August 2016 to ARIS Building Services Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 August 2016 to Pyrosolv Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 August 2016 to Potter Design and Construct Pty Limited, what was the scope of the goods or services provided.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 18 August 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered for each payment.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 25 August 2016 to GLS Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 26 August 2016 to ELCOM Electrical Contractors, what (a) was the scope of the goods or services delivered and (b) school was this payment concerning.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

1. Investigate and provide recommendations and a cost estimate to rectify very low balustrades, remove current handrail & replace with new to meet current code
2. The payment for Fire Systems – preventative services (various sites) was for fire protection - monthly preventative maintenance on fire services and equipment. The upgrade at Melba Copland School was for a fire detection upgrade.
3. This payment was for installation of a solar tube at Monash Primary School.
4. These were progress payments for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.

5. This payment was for repairs to emergency lighting at Kingsford Smith School.
 6. (a) This payment was for installation of LED lighting throughout school.
(b) The lighting was installed at Wanniasa School.
-

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 112)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 13 September 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what was the scope of the goods or services delivered.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 September 2016 to Softlink Australia Pty Ltd, what goods or services were delivered as a result of this payment.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 September 2016 to the Australian National University, what was the scope of the project.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 September 2016 to Tri-Delt Pty Ltd T/A Quay Building Group, what goods or services were delivered as a result of this payment.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 September 2016 to Furnware Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered as part of the new furniture and (b) educational institutions was this payment concerning.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 27 September 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what goods or services were delivered in exchange for this payment.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) These were progress payments for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
- (2) The payment to Softlink Australia Pty Ltd was for ongoing management support for the centralised library management system used by all government schools.
- (3) The scope of this procurement was a climate measurement tool to better understand the relationship between school climate factors, school identification (connection and belonging) and school improvement.
- (4) These were progress payments for installation of a storage shed at University of Canberra Lake Ginninderra College.
- (5) (a) This payment was for purchase of kindergarten furniture to replace very old classroom furniture.
(b) The purchase was for Bonython Primary School.

- (6) This payment was for joinery items for stage 1 of the upgrade works to the canteen student hub at Dickson College.
-

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 113)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 6 October 2016 to Deloitte Access Economics, what was the scope of this contract.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 11 October 2016 to Griffith University, what goods or services were received as a result of this payment.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 18 October 2016 to Deloitte Access Economics, what was the scope of the contract.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 18 October 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what was the scope of the goods or services provided as a result of this payment.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 20 October 2016 to COBUL Constructions, what goods or services were delivered as a result of this payment.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 24 October 2016 to Abacus Calculators, what (a) goods or services were delivered as part of this purchase and (b) schools was this payment concerning.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) This contract was to review the existing data and provide advice on issues that will impact the development of English as an Additional Language or Dialect (EAL/D) policy framework for the Directorate.
- (2) The required services were to review the ACT, national and international evidence base for the provision of in-school support options, non-government agency partnerships, alternative educational programs and other support options for disengaged students who may have a range of complex learning needs, exhibit behaviours that are challenging or aggressive, or have additional social, health or welfare support needs.
- (3) This contract was to review the existing data and provide advice on issues that will impact the development of a English as an Additional Language or Dialect (EAL/D) policy framework for the Directorate.
- (4) This was a progress payment for works undertaken in the Upgrade of the Curtin Primary School and replacement of the roof at Melrose High School and associated works.
- (5) This payment was for a joinery item for stage 1 of the upgrade works to the canteen student hub at Dickson College.

- (6) (a) The payment was for 300 scientific standardised calculators for purchase by students at a discounted price, for use in maths.
- (b) The payment concerned Narrabundah College.

**Government—expenditure
(Question No 114)**

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 1 November 2016 to Pyrosolv Pty Ltd, what was the scope of this contract.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 8 November 2016 to (a) Colda Constructions and (b) Capital Boiler and Burner Services Pty Ltd, what is the scope of these projects.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 9 November 2016 to Furnware Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered as part of this contract and (b) school was this payment concerning.
- (4) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 10 November 2016 to Blair M Wilson & Associates Pty Ltd, what goods or services were delivered in this project.
- (5) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 15 November 2016 to Can-Weld Contracting Pty Ltd, what goods or services are delivered in exchange for payment in this transaction.
- (6) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 23 November 2016 to Furnware Pty Ltd, what (a) goods or services were delivered as part of this contract and (b) school was this payment concerning.
- (7) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 24 November 2016 to Blair M Wilson & Associates Pty Ltd, what goods or services were delivered in this project.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The contract was for fire compliance repairs.
- (2) (a) This payment was for Colda Constructions to remove walls, install fencing and new unisex toilet, and supply trampoline.
- (b) This payment to Capital Boiler and Burner Services Pty Ltd was for monitoring and tuning of the HVAC system operations to improve thermal comfort throughout the building.
- (3) (a) This contract was for replacement of ageing classroom furniture (tables, chairs and soft furnishings).
- (b) The payment was for Amaroo School.
- (4) This was a progress payment for Architectural and Sub-Consultancy services

delivered in relation to the modernisation of Belconnen High School project.

- (5) This payment was for stage 1 metalwork structure for the Forrest Treehouse and Bush Camp project at Southern Cross Early Childhood School.
 - (6) (a) This payment was for 240 sled based student chairs to replace ageing stock.
(b) The payment was for Amaroo School.
 - (7) This was a progress payment for Architectural and Sub-Consultancy services delivered in relation to the modernisation of Belconnen High School project.
-

Government—expenditure (Question No 115)

Mr Wall asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 17 February 2017:

- (1) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 2 December 2016 to Manteena Pty Ltd, what was the scope of this project.
- (2) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 16 December 2016 to Dynamic Sports, what (a) was the purpose of the goods or services provided and (b) school was this payment concerning.
- (3) In relation to payments made from the ACT Government on 22 December 2016 to Manteena Commercial Pty Ltd, what was the scope of this project.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) This was a progress payment for the Neville Bonner Primary School expansion as part of the 2016-17 Capital Upgrades Program. This involved the relocation and upgrade of two transportable buildings from Gold Creek School to Neville Bonner Primary School and the conversion of two (2) existing kindergarten spaces into two (2) new preschool classrooms at the Neville Bonner Primary School.
 - (2) (a) The payment was for installation of a multi - purpose concrete slab with netball and basketball line marked courts to provide greater choice for students' physical education activities.
(b) The project was at Giralang Primary School.
 - (3) This was a progress payment for the Neville Bonner Primary School expansion as part of the 2016-17 Capital Upgrades Program. This involved the relocation and upgrade of two transportable buildings from Gold Creek School to Neville Bonner Primary School and the conversion of two (2) existing kindergarten spaces into two (2) new preschool classrooms at the Neville Bonner Primary School.
-

Public housing—Oaks Estate (Question No 134)

Ms Lee asked the Minister for Housing and Suburban Development, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) What maintenance work has been carried out on public housing at Oaks Estate for the (a) 2012-13, (b) 2013-14, (c) 2014-15 and (d) 2015-16 financial years.
- (2) What has been the amount spent on those works referred to in part (1), in each of the years specified.
- (3) What maintenance works are planned in Oaks Estate public housing for the (a) 2016-17 and (b) 2017-18 years.
- (4) What is the average frequency of maintenance carried out on public housing across the ACT.

Ms Berry: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) and (2) The following work, and the associated costs, have been carried out at Oaks Estate:

	2012-13 \$	2013-14 \$	2014-15 \$	2015-16 \$
Carpentry Services	0.00	0.00	24.21	137.91
Cleaning Services	12,586.53	8,100.30	6,658.57	5,925.01
Electrical Services	36,376.27	37,328.62	28,831.48	20,334.27
Fencing Repairs	0.00	0.00	144.41	0.00
Fire Safety	219.18	940.90	1,676.68	545.11
Floor Coverings	9,583.69	9,094.10	6,514.04	3,322.75
General Services	59,354.68	40,664.72	30,707.97	42,544.98
Glazing Services	3,000.69	1,908.53	1,223.63	2,065.11
Hot Water Repair/Replacement	1,306.68	8,078.44	4,870.38	3,591.76
Locksmith Services	3,978.06	5,047.08	6,180.08	11,688.64
Metal Work	0.00	0.00	545.86	159.74
Painting	12,143.53	20,106.79	1,036.12	3,914.01
Pest Control	0.00	0.00	116.46	0.00
Planned Work	0.00	10,927.92	18,428.14	12,189.72
Plumbing Services	9,433.65	17,545.56	13,118.64	7,153.52
Roofing Services	511.03	452.20	1,039.27	469.14
Horticulture Services	513.19	0.00	2,134.95	360.51
TOTAL	\$149,007.18	\$160,195.16	\$123,250.89	\$114,402.18

- (3) (a) The 2016-2017 planned maintenance program of works for Oaks Estate public housing include upgrade or replacement of 11 kitchens, 18 wet areas, 13 floor coverings and 8 internal paints.
- (b) The maintenance works are scheduled on an annual basis for the following year. The 2017-2018 schedules are currently being developed for delivery from July 2017.
- (4) Across the ACT public housing portfolio in 2015-16, an average of 1,128 works orders were carried out every week.

**Oaks Estate—crime statistics
(Question No 137)**

Ms Lee asked the Minister for Police and Emergency Services, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Can the Minister advise how many crimes have (a) been reported, (b) resulted in an arrest and (c) resulted in a conviction, in respect of incidents at Oaks Estate since 1 January 2010 through to the latest reporting period.
- (2) What was the address at which the offender resided, for the crimes listed in part (1).

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) ACT Policing advises me that between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2016 there has been the following results for incidents which occurred in Oaks Estate:
 - a. 385 offences were reported to police.
 - b. 48 offenders were arrested in relation to the above offences.

Note: This data does not include offenders identified and dealt with police by other means (Cautions, Diversionary conferences, etc.)

- c. 15 convictions resulted from the above arrests.
 - (2) ACT Policing cannot disclose an offender's residential address, as disclosure of such information would constitute a breach of Schedule 1 Part 6 of the *Privacy Act 1988*.
-

Construction industry—licences (Question No 139)

Ms Lawder asked the Minister for Regulatory Services, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Did you send a letter to construction occupation licensees in December 2016 to announce changes to the way licensees received notification of the expiry of construction occupation licences.
- (2) Did your directorate also send the notification referred to in part (1).

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

In December 2016 a letter was sent by Access Canberra on my behalf to all construction occupation licensees and registered architects advising them of changes made by Access Canberra to the way in which they would be reminded of their pending licence expiry.

Hospitals—neonatal deaths (Question No 140)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Further to the reply to question on notice No 70, how many neonatal deaths were caused by extreme prematurity abnormalities in (a) 2013-14, (b) 2014-15, (c) 2015-16 and (d) 2016-17 to date.

- (2) What was the nature of the prematurity abnormalities.
- (3) How many neonatal deaths were caused by congenital abnormalities.
- (4) What was the nature of the congenital abnormalities.
- (5) What were the other causes of neonatal deaths.
- (6) How many neonatal deaths have occurred as a consequence of other causes.

Ms Fitzharris: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Due to small numbers being potentially identifiable, neonatal deaths by cause of death are reported in 5 year periods. The most recently published ACT Perinatal Mortality Report included perinatal deaths for 2006 to 2010. The next report is due to be published later this year and will include perinatal deaths for 2011 to 2015. It will follow a similar format to the previous report. **Attachment A** is an extract of pages 19 and 20 from the previous report, available on ACT Health's website.

In 2006 to 2010 extreme prematurity was the cause of 24 neonatal deaths (38.7 per cent).

- (2) Of the 24 neonatal deaths in 2006 to 2010 due to extreme prematurity, 22 were considered pre-viable and were not resuscitated. Two had unsuccessful resuscitation attempts.
- (3) In 2006 to 2010 congenital abnormalities was the cause of 15 neonatal deaths (24.2 per cent).
- (4) Of the 15 neonatal deaths in 2006 to 2010 due to congenital abnormalities, four were chromosomal abnormalities, five musculoskeletal abnormalities and three were classified under other specified congenital abnormalities.

(5) and (6)

Other causes of neonatal deaths in 2006 to 2010 included neurological conditions (19.4 per cent); cardio-respiratory disorders and infections (17.7 per cent).

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

Environment—woodlands (Question No 141)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for the Environment and Heritage, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) How much of the endangered yellow box red gum grassy woodland habitat currently exists in the ACT.
- (2) What proportion of this habitat is under protection in ACT reserves.
- (3) What data is collected to monitor changes in this habitat across the ACT.

- (4) What has been the rate of decline in this habitat in the ACT over the past 10 years.
- (5) How does the Government provide protection for mature trees in non-urban landscapes in the ACT when they do not sit in recognised yellow box red gum grassy woodland habitats.
- (6) What environmental guidelines and advice are in place for planners and developers in relation to the treatment of these trees.

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Current estimates indicate that there are 19,884 hectares of Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodland habitat in the ACT. This figure is compiled from a number of different sources and may change in the future as mapping methods change. This figure includes all Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodland, regardless of condition (but excludes secondary grasslands that may have been derived from the clearing of these woodlands). Condition is critical to determining if a site meets the criteria for inclusion as a part of the endangered ecological communities defined in the *Nature Conservation Act 2014* or the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. However condition cannot be assessed through remote methods (i.e. every site needs to be visited and assessed); therefore, it is not possible to determine exactly how much of the Box–Gum woodland habitat meets the endangered ecological community criteria.
- (2) Nature reserves protect 6801 hectares (34%) of Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodland habitat and offsets protect a further 981 hectares (5%). This leaves 12,102 hectares of habitat, a large proportion of which is on National Land or private lease.
- (3) An extensive amount of monitoring is undertaken within ACT Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodlands. This monitoring is aimed at identifying changes in the condition of both the community as a whole and targeted individual species within the community. Current monitoring includes:
 - floristic composition and structure of the ground layer at 21 nature reserves and offset reserves (Conservation Research unit longitudinal condition monitoring, offset monitoring and kangaroo impacts research, and Vegwatch from the Catchment groups)
 - changes in canopy condition between 2004, 2009 and 2015 modelled using Spot 7 satellite data
 - reptile diversity and abundance in select reserves (Kangaroo impacts research)
 - kangaroo abundance, density and off take (Kangaroo counts and impact research)
 - bird diversity (COG and Kangaroo impacts research)
 - small mammal presence /absence (periodic small mammal surveys)
 - fire response (qualitative assessments of fuel reduction burns)
 - threatened species population trends (Superb Parrot, Button Wrinklewort, Tarengo Leek Orchid)
 - weed treatment areas and identification and assessment of potential new weed species (weed management program)
 - recording of significant flora and fauna locations (Canberra Nature Map).

The Conservation Management Effectiveness Program will derive a series metrics to evaluate this monitoring and provide an overall picture of woodland condition.

- (4) Decline can be measured in a number of different ways. Clearing for urban development is the most destructive and permanent way in which this habitat type has declined in the ACT.

Decline more commonly takes the form of decreasing condition, which can be measured in a number of ways such as a reduced number of native species occupying Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodland, a reduced abundance or cover of native species or a reduction in the quality or diversity of habitats that the woodlands provide.

Canopy decline models indicate that eucalypt dieback has had a detrimental impact on canopy cover, particularly between 2009 and 2015. Canopy decline was widespread across the Southern Tablelands and not restricted to the ACT. The cause(s) of this decline is currently unknown.

Ground layer monitoring of Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodland established in 2009 has shown the diversity and cover of native plant species in our woodlands has been relatively stable. Individual sites have declined during particular years but they have all rebounded in following years. Likewise, reptile diversity and abundance has remained relatively stable over the period of monitoring.

Threatened species populations have either been stable (Button Wrinklewort) or increasing (Superb Parrot and Tarengo Leek Orchid).

Conclusive statements about the overall trend of condition in Yellow Box–Red Gum Woodlands are difficult to make; however, the upcoming Conservation Management Effectiveness Program will draw this information together in a more holistic way.

- (5) Mature trees in the non-urban areas are protected under the provisions of the *Nature Conservation Act 2014*. It is an offence to damage a native tree on leased land outside the urban area. There is an exception if the tree was damaged by an occupier of the land with the intention of using it on the land for a purpose other than sale (e.g. using the timber as fence posts) but most rural lessees understand the importance of these trees as shelter for stock. In addition, fencing practices have changed and most fence posts are now steel to protect the fence from fire.

In addition, rural leases contain a clause that states:

That the Lessee shall not cut down, fell, ringbark or otherwise injure or destroy (or suffer to permit the same) any live tree or tree-like plant on the land without the previous consent in writing of the Territory;

- (6) Yellow box red gum grassy woodland is listed as a threatened ecological community in the ACT under the *Nature Conservation Act 2014*. The ecological community (with minor differences as to how it is described) is also listed under the Commonwealth's *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. An Action Plan for the community and associated species has been prepared by the Conservator of Flora and Fauna. The **ACT Lowland Woodland Conservation Strategy** (Action Plan 27) sets out how landholders, government and the community can help conserve lowland woodland and the species that depend on its habitats. This includes management of feral animal and weeds, strategic grazing, controlled burning and slashing, and revegetation.

Any proposed development that is likely to have a significant impact on this listed community must first go through an environmental impact statement process. Both the

ACT and Commonwealth have policy guidelines in relation to the assessment of the community. The guidelines provide information about risks, conservation measures and how to determine if a significant impact is likely. Environmental offsets also apply under the ACT Environmental Offsets Policy and the *EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy*.

Conservation planning in relation to the community is informed by the **ACT Lowland Woodland Conservation Strategy**, which can be viewed on the website at www.environment.act.gov.au/cpr/conservation_and_ecological_communities/lowland_woodlands/woodlands_strategy

Animals—mobile slaughter units (Question No 142)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Regulatory Services, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) What is the current ACT Government position on allowing mobile slaughter units (also known as mobile abattoirs) to operate in the ACT.
- (2) Does the ACT Government plan on permitting mobile slaughter units licensed in NSW to operate in the ACT.
- (3) Would the ACT Government support a proposal by a farmers' cooperative in the Capital Region or the ACT to establish their own mobile slaughter unit.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Undertaking commercial slaughtering of livestock for human consumption is considered a food business under the *Food Act 2001*; food businesses must comply with the requirements of the Food Act, including registration requirements and compliance with the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code. In addition, the activity of operating a mobile abattoir may require an environmental authorisation if the operation of the abattoir for the processing of the products of slaughtered animals (other than for the tanning of animal skins or fellmongery) is designed to process more than 3000kg of live animals per day.
 - (2) It is possible that mobile slaughter units licensed in NSW under a NSW law that corresponds to the Food Act could be exempt from registration in the ACT. However, they would still be subject to food safety requirements including inspections in relation to complaint investigations or food-borne disease outbreak investigations.
 - (3) This is a commercial decision for any potential operators, noting that any commercial slaughter operation that operates in the ACT must comply with the applicable food safety requirements of the Food Act.
-

Administrative arrangements—statistics (Question No 143)

Mr Coe asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Can the Chief Minister list the total number of Administrative Arrangements made under the *Australian Capital Territory (Self Government) Act 1988* and the *Public Sector Management Act 1994* in the years from 2012 to 2016 and the date those Administrative Arrangements were made.
- (2) What caused the two month delay in gazetting Administrative Arrangements 2016 (No 5).

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) As advised in QON 76, all Administrative Arrangements and their timing, made under the *Australian Capital Territory (Self-Government) Act 1988* and the *Public Sector Management Act 1994* can be located on the ACT Legislation website at www.legislation.act.gov.au.
- (2) The delay in gazetting Administrative Arrangements 2016 (No 5) was due to an administrative oversight. Despite this administrative oversight, the Administrative Arrangements 2016 (No 5) were notified and publicly available through the ACT Legislation website. Practices have been amended to ensure future Administrative Arrangements are gazetted in a timely manner.

Government—ministerial appointments (Question No 144)

Mr Coe asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Further to question on notice No 75, is a consolidated list maintained of Australian Capital Territory (Self-Government) Ministerial Appointment Instruments; if so, can the Chief Minister advise the number of Ministerial Appointment instruments made each year from 2012 to 2016 and the date each instrument was made
- (2) Can the Chief Minister explain what changed priorities required the making of each new Ministerial Appointment Instrument in 2016.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) As advised in QON 75, all Ministerial Appointment Instruments and their timing, made under the *Australian Capital Territory (Self-Government) Act 1988* and the *Public Sector Management Act 1994* can be located on the ACT Legislation website at www.legislation.act.gov.au.
- (2) Several Ministerial Appointments occurred throughout 2016. I made these appointments to realign portfolio responsibility and as a result of the 2016 ACT General Election.

Access Canberra—rental bonds (Question No 145)

Mr Coe asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 24 March 2017 (*redirected to the Minister for Regulatory Services*):

- (1) Can the Treasurer advise the total value of the rental bonds held by the ACT Office of Rental Bonds in the (a) 2013-14, (b) 2014-15, (c) 2015-16 and (d) 2016-17 to date, financial years.
- (2) On average how many transactions does the ACT Office of Rental Bonds process in a year.
- (3) What benchmark or performance target has been set for the processing of rental bonds.
- (4) Can the Treasurer indicate the percentage of transactions where the benchmark or performance target has been met in the (a) 2013-14, (b) 2014-15, (c) 2015-16 and (d) 2016-17 to date, financial years.
- (5) Are the recent media reports, indicating that over the Christmas and New Year period Canberra tenants waited up to nine weeks to receive the funds of rental bonds, accurate; if so, what caused the processing delays.
- (6) Is there any procedure whereby a tenant or a landlord can seek the expediting of the processing of a rental bond; if so, can the Treasurer outline that procedure.
- (7) Can the Treasurer indicate the average processing time for rental bonds for the months of February 2017 and March 2017.
- (8) Have the administrative guidelines regarding the acceptance and refund of rental bonds changed; if so, can the Treasurer advise the date the guidelines were revised and outline the nature of the changes.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

(1)

2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	As at 28 March 2017
\$58,871,453.48	\$61,079,513.82	\$64,140,191.55	\$64,713,584.93

- (2) On average 75,000 transactions per year. This includes bond lodgements, bond refunds, change of managing agents, dispute processes, transfer of tenants, transfer of premises, update of tenant and lessor details, revocation of a managing agent, requests to stop payments, requests for unclaimed bonds, agent signature authority, ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal orders and general enquiries.
- (3) Within 10 working days of receiving a complete rental bond refund application and within 20 working days of receiving a complete rental bond lodgement application.

(4)

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17 to date
Percentage where target was met	Not available	80%	80%	95%

- (5) No. During the 2016/2017 Christmas New year period, which is a peak period, there was a delay of 4 to 5 weeks. There were a range of contributing factors for this, including increased demand and the preparation of the movement of the function from the Fyshwick shopfront, to the new Woden service centre. Apart from Christmas/new

year, the rental bond refunds have been processed within the 10 working day service delivery timeframe and rental bond refunds are currently being processed within 6 working days of receiving a completed application.

- (6) A tenant, managing agent, or lessor can contact Access Canberra by phone or email to discuss the status and processing of a refund.
- (7) The average processing time for rental bond refunds during February and March was 8 working days. In late March it has been averaging 6 working days.
- (8) Yes, there have been a number of administrative guideline changes:
 - There were changes to service standards from 1 July 2015, via revised accountability indicators, as a result of the creation of Access Canberra. Access Canberra's accountability measures note the standard for lodgement transactions is 20 working days. However, recognising the importance of bond refunds, our internal target has always been 10 working days. This is the standard that has been communicated to industry and the community.
 - There have been changes to lodgement processes with the introduction of electronic lodgements and EFT payments. Nine managing agents are now lodging electronically. Access Canberra is continuing to work with the Real Estate Institute of the ACT in encouraging agents to lodge rental bonds through direct payment and email. Alternatives to EFT payment is cheque payments; cash is no longer accepted. This process provides greater levels of security and certainty that the required bond lodgement documents and funds have been received.
 - There are legislative amendments to the Residential Tenancies Act that were passed by the previous Assembly which come into effect in August 2017. These amendments include stipulating a requirement for refunds to be lodged within 3 working days of a tenancy agreement ending, or 10 working days if the claim is being disputed.

Legislative Assembly—travel by members (Question No 150)

Mr Wall asked the Speaker, upon notice, on 24 March 2017:

- (1) Further to your report on the visit by yourself and the Clerk to the Tasmanian and Victorian Parliaments in February 2017, which Speakers have visited other Australian parliaments for the purpose outlined in the report since and including the Fifth Assembly.
- (2) When did those visits take place.
- (3) What was the program for each visit.
- (4) What was the cost of each visit.
- (5) Who accompanied the Speaker on each trip.
- (6) What type and class of travel was employed for each trip.

- (7) Where was the delegation accommodated overnight (when required).
- (8) What hospitality was provided and by whom for each trip.
- (9) Were reports tabled in the Assembly; if not, why not; if so, when and can the Speaker provide copies of those reports.

Ms Burch: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The following Speakers have visited other Australian parliaments for the purpose of meeting presiding officers of Australian Parliaments and to compare and contrast the level and extent of services that are provided by the parliaments to their members:

- a) Sixth Assembly – Speaker Berry
- b) Seventh Assembly – Speaker Rattenbury
- c) Eight Assembly – Speaker Dunne

N.B A similar exercise was not undertaken by the Speaker of the Eighth Assembly as opportunities to engage with Presiding Officers of other Australian legislatures occurred during CPA related activities. The details of those activities are outlined below:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| 13 December 2012 | CPA Australian Region Management Committee Special Meeting (teleconference with a number of Presiding Officers of Australian Parliaments) |
| 30 January 2013 | CPA Australian Region Working Group Victorian Parliament House (attended and met with Presiding Officers of several Australian Parliaments) |
| 15 March 2013 | CPA Australian Region Management Committee NSW Parliament House (attended by most Presiding Officers of Australian Parliaments) |

- (2) See Attachment A.
- (3) The program for each visit is at Attachment B.
- (4) See Attachment A.
- (5) The Speaker was accompanied on each visit by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly.
- (6) See Attachment A.
- (7) See Attachment A.
- (8) See Attachment A.
- (9) Yes, reports were tabled—see Attachment A. A copy of each report is at Attachment C.

(Copies of the attachments are available at the Chamber Support Office).

Arts—venues (Question No 151)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for the Arts and Community Events, upon notice, on 31 March 2017:

- (1) Further to the Minister's answer to question taken on notice No 14 in the inquiry of the Standing Committee on Economic Development and Tourism into referred 2015-16 Annual and Financial Reports on 28 February 2017, in respect of buildings for which Cultural Canberra is responsible, for each of the years (a) 2012-13, (b) 2013-14, (c) 2014-15, (c) 2015-16 and (d) 2016-17 (to date), for each building in the list provided, how much was spent on repairs and maintenance.
- (2) If any repairs and maintenance were non-routine, what was the nature and cost of those non-routine repairs and maintenance works.
- (3) Who was contracted or otherwise engaged to carry out routine repairs and maintenance.
- (4) What was the budget for repairs and maintenance.
- (5) Were tenants responsible for (a) routine and (b) non-routine repairs and maintenance.
- (6) When were building inspections conducted to determine the state of repair.
- (7) When were tenancy inspections conducted and what feedback was provided to tenants.
- (8) What building refurbishment works were carried out and what was the cost of those refurbishments.
- (9) Were refurbishments completed on or before time and on or under budget; if not, why not.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The repairs and maintenance expenditure on arts facilities for 2012-13 and 2013-14 was previously provided in response to Question on Notice 661 in 2016. The below table represents **total** repairs and maintenance expenditure for each of the arts facilities in subsequent years:

Building	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17 (as at 31 March 2017)
Ainslie Arts Centre	42,745.02	38,376.75	30,221.82
Watson Arts Centre	13,227.46	33,236.31	16,380.87
Street Theatre	48,494.16	59,960.82	13,831.90
Tuggeranong Arts Centre	16,809.70	109,139.14	43,212.03
Gorman House Arts Centre	61,075.74	95,481.94	60,211.42
Strathnairn Homestead	32,362.91	38,094.07	21,368.85
Manuka Arts Centre	42,899.91	25,324.41	17,300.68
Canberra Contemporary Art Space	728.62	415.07	16,703.09
Canberra Glassworks	68,887.26	58,582.93	90,101.57

The Chapel	3,383.00	315.20	1,342.00
Belconnen Arts Centre	37,621.99	35,075.81	27,545.73
TOTAL	368,235.77	494,002.45	338,219.96
Arts facilities funded by own source revenue:			
Former Transport Depot	61,716.16	132,309.19	31,522.58
Wentworth Avenue Offices	2,282.73	2,183.90	7,208.00
TOTAL	63,998.89	134,493.09	38,730.58
GRAND TOTAL	432,234.66	628,495.54	376,950.54

(2) The below table represents **non-routine** repairs and maintenance expenditure for each of the arts facilities:

Building	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17 (as at 31 March 2017)
Ainslie Arts Centre	35,948.95	28,514.25	22,158.61
Watson Arts Centre	6,184.65	19,389.81	10,639.84
Street Theatre	22,444.24	24,707.12	22,193.91
Tuggeranong Arts Centre	10,679.41	92,799.53	28,508.10
Gorman House Arts Centre	42,841.83	80,239.40	45,399.53
Strathnairn Homestead	27,403.77	31,491.90	12,656.91
Manuka Arts Centre	30,206.96	10,909.29	4,687.72
Canberra Contemporary Art Space	230.50	0.00	16,329.50
Canberra Glassworks	44,687.33	35,442.53	68,169.31
The Chapel	2,863.00	80.00	0.00
Belconnen Arts Centre	28,129.35	24,036.68	16,058.97
Former Transport Depot	0.00	0.00	0.00
Wentworth Avenue Offices	2,282.73	2,183.90	7,208.00
TOTAL	253,902.72	349,794.41	254,010.40

The non-routine repairs and maintenance across the arts facilities were related to electrical and plumbing works, ground maintenance, building materials, fire systems and repairs to the Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems. This is consistent across financial years.

(3) The following suppliers are used to carry out routine repairs and maintenance:

- RCR Haden Pty Ltd;
- CCS Facilities Maintenances Pty Ltd;
- Delta Building Automation Pty Ltd;
- Fire Systems Solutions Pty Ltd;
- ADT Fire Monitoring;
- Progressive Controls Pty Ltd;
- TFM Pty Ltd;
- Thyssen Krup Elevator Australia Pty Ltd;
- Canberra Boilers Pty Ltd;
- Hydro Industries Pty Ltd;
- Trimevac Pty Ltd;
- SureSafe Height and Safety Solutions Pty Ltd;
- Leaves Away Pty Ld;
- ACT Property Group;
- Don't Panic Plumbing Pty Ltd;
- DORMA Australia Pty Ltd; and

- Australian Bird Pest Management Pty Ltd.
- (4) The budget for repairs and maintenance for 2014-15 was \$447,534; for 2015-16 was \$457,662 and 2016-17 is \$457,662.
- (5) Yes.
- (a) Under the licence agreements between the Territory and the occupying arts organisations there is a requirement for the Licensee to pay for routine maintenance items such as cleaning and garden maintenance.
- (b) The Licensee is also responsible for non-routine repairs in accordance with the licence agreement. The Licensor may require the Licensee to contribute an amount for each item of repair of the Licensor's Property as set out in the licence agreement.
- (6) Building condition audits were completed in 2013 as part of the Strategic Asset Management Plan (September 2014) prepared for the arts portfolio.
- (7) The licence agreements between the Territory and building occupants do not require tenancy inspections.
- (8) Building refurbishment works carried out across the arts portfolio as part of the Capital Upgrades Program, included:
- 2014-15 (\$307,000)**
Mechanical upgrades at Tuggeranong Arts Centre and hydraulic improvements at Belconnen Arts Centre.
- 2015-16 (\$315,000)**
Mechanical upgrades at Tuggeranong Arts Centre and the Street Theatre and hazardous materials removal at Gorman House and Ainslie Arts Centres.
- 2016-17 (\$325,000)**
Kitchen upgrade at Tuggeranong Arts Centre and access improvements at Manuka Arts Centre.
- (9) Refurbishments were completed within the financial year of the funding allocation and within the available budget.

Drugs—statistics (Question No 153)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 31 March 2017:

- (1) What studies have been done regarding consumption of pills containing illegal substances in the ACT.
- (2) If studies have been done, what proportion of pills containing illegal substances in the ACT are consumed at (a) musical festivals, (b) nightclubs, bars and other licensed premises and (c) private parties or at people's homes.

Ms Fitzharris: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) There are no published peer reviewed research studies that have specifically investigated the consumption of pills containing illegal substance in the ACT. However, there are national monitoring systems that provide some data on illicit drug use by state and territory.

The most recent National Drug Strategy Household Survey reported that in 2013 in the ACT, 2.9 per cent of people aged 14 years or older had used ecstasy in the previous 12 months and 2.2 per cent used methylamphetamines in the same period. These figures are broadly comparable with the national picture.

The Ecstasy and Related Drugs Reporting System (EDRS) is a national monitoring system for ecstasy and related drugs that draws on a number of data sources, including interviews with a sample of regular ecstasy and psychostimulant users. While this is not a representative sample of the general population, it does provide an indication of emerging trends. In 2016 in the ACT the majority (36 per cent) of the sample of 100 regular users reported ecstasy as their drug of choice. Methamphetamine use among this group remains low and infrequent.

- (2) The 2013 National Drug Strategy Household Survey reported that nationally, ecstasy users were more likely to use the drug in a public venue (for example raves, pubs or clubs), but there was no available data on location of use specifically for the ACT in this survey. The 2016 EDRS report found the sample of regular ecstasy and psychostimulant users reported a wide variety of locations as the last time they used ecstasy. Nightclubs were most common followed by live music venues and private gatherings.

Government—artworks (Question No 154)

Mrs Dunne asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 31 March 2017:

Which ACT Government directorates and agencies, apart from artsACT, specifically (a) own, (b) manage and/or (c) maintain works of public art.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

All directorates, with the exception of the Community Services Directorate, specifically own, manage and/or maintain works of public art.

- ACT Health works are managed by a part time Curator and the Arts in Health Committee which has representatives drawn from the Health and Arts communities. A key objective of the Arts in Health Program is to enhance the delivery of health services to the Canberra community.
- The Justice and Community Safety Directorate have maintained a number of public art pieces at the Magistrates court. With the construction of the new courts facility a tender will be going out seeking artists to provide a major piece for the new facility. This new art piece will be managed and maintained by Programmed Facilities Management.
- Transport Canberra and City Services, Education and the Environment Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate also own, manage and/or maintain works of public art.

- Apart from the portfolio of works managed by artsACT, no other agency within the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate own, manage and/or maintain works of public art.
-

Transport—Woden bus interchange (Question No 155)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Economic Development, upon notice, on 31 March 2017 (*redirected to the Acting Minister for Economic Development*):

- (1) What future upgrades are already funded for the Woden Bus Interchange.
- (2) What future upgrades are designed but not funded.
- (3) What is the status of the Government's plans for the overall delivery of a new bus interchange.

Ms Fitzharris: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The 2016-17 Budget includes \$300,000 for footpath improvements adjacent to the bus interchange and for CCTV installation in Bowes Street.
 - (2) The current master plan for the interchange assumed the participation of the owners of Westfield Woden Plaza in the redevelopment of the bus interchange precinct. The redevelopment of Woden Plaza to support the new interchange, as envisaged in the master plan, is unlikely in the foreseeable future. The design of the interchange precinct therefore needs to be reconsidered.
 - (3) The design of the second stage of light rail will be integrated with the ongoing modernisation of the Woden Bus Interchange. The design of the new public transport node will progress as part of the current light rail master plan. The master plan will inform the funding and timing of future improvements.
-

Public housing—fire risk locations (Question No 159)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Planning and Land Management, upon notice, on 31 March 2017 (*redirected to the Minister for Police and Emergency Services*):

In relation to a statement made by the Deputy Chief Minister, during her statement on public housing on 29 March 2017, that half of Canberra is zoned as "bushfire-prone", can the Minister advise what proportion of Canberra urban areas are zoned as "bushfire-prone".

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The Divisions (suburbs) within the Australian Capital Territory cover approximately 35,450.10 hectares. Some of the Divisions include some bushland reserve areas and undeveloped land in new Divisions. The land identified as Bushfire Prone Area inside the Divisions covers approximately 17,961.69 hectares. The percentage of Divisions currently

identified as Bushfire Prone Area is approximately 50.67%.

**Arts—funding
(Question No 160)**

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for the Arts and Community Events, upon notice, on 31 March 2017:

- (1) Did the Minister state that the Government is planning the “single biggest funding increase in ACT self-government history” but the amount is “subject to budget consideration” as reported in *The Canberra Times* on 29 March 2017; if so, will the Minister commit that the increase will be in addition to bringing the project funding in line with 2014-15 levels, making this a true increase.
- (2) With regard to the 2010 Loxton Report and the recommendation to establish a Ministerial Advisory Council on the Arts, will the Minister commit to (a) establishing a Ministerial Advisory Council following calls from the ACT arts community, (b) ensuring the Ministerial Advisory Council is established before September 2017 and (c) prioritising practising artists and members of vulnerable communities in appointing the Ministerial Advisory Council.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

- 1 Yes the statement was made. Decisions on budget funding are considered by Cabinet through the usual processes and will be announced by the Treasurer on 6 June 2017.
 - 2 The ACT Government is currently considering options for the establishment of an arts ministerial advisory mechanism. Preliminary planning has commenced on a series of Roundtables to be convened to discuss the purpose of an advisory mechanism and the methods to achieve the most effective representation on such a body. Further information will be made public when planning is finalised.
-

**Access Canberra—rental bonds
(Question No 161)**

Ms Lee asked the Minister for Regulatory Services, upon notice, on 31 March 2017:

Are there any releases of bond applications which Access Canberra has not actioned that were received by Access Canberra in December 2016 and January 2017; if so, how many.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

Access Canberra has actioned all rental bond refund requests received in December 2016 and January 2017. There are eight rental bond refund requests in dispute from this period that are currently with the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal for determination.

**Energy—renewable
(Question No 164)**

Mr Coe asked the Minister for Climate Change and Sustainability, upon notice, on

31 March 2017:

- (1) What is the actual and estimated percentage of the ACT's electricity usage which is renewable (a) currently, (b) in 2018, (c) in 2019, (d) in 2020 and (e) in 2021.
- (2) Can the Minister provide a breakdown of the renewable energy generated and consumed by source, for example, residential rooftop solar, large scale solar and hydro etc, for each period referred to in part (1).
- (3) What is the total cost of the renewable energy (a) in total and (b) per household for each period referred to in part (1).
- (4) Are the costs of renewable energy solely recovered through electricity bills; if not, how are the costs recovered.
- (5) What is the actual and estimated total electricity consumption of the ACT for each period referred to in part (1).
- (6) What electricity prices have been locked-in (or hedged) and for what (a) amount of electricity and (b) periods.
- (7) Does the ACT Government need to sign any new contracts in order to reach the 100% target.
- (8) As a result of the contracts signed to date, what is the actual and estimated percentage of the ACT's electricity usage which is renewable for those periods referred to in part (1).

Mr Rattenbury: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The estimated percentage of the ACT's electricity supply to be supplied from renewable sources is given in row 7 in **Table 1**.
- (2) The forecast breakdown of the ACT's renewable electricity supply is given in rows 1 to 5 in the Table 1.
- (3) Under the contract-for-difference payment system that the ACT's large feed-in tariff scheme uses, supported generators receive feed-in tariff payments equal to the difference between their feed-in tariff prices and the wholesale price of electricity in their National Electricity Market jurisdiction at the time of generation. In the Independent Competition and Regulatory Commission's (ICRC) Standing Offer retail electricity price decision for 2015-16, the cost of the ACT's large feed-in tariff scheme was given as \$3.41/MWh (around 49 cents per household per week) while the cost of the ACT's small-medium feed-in tariff scheme was given as \$6.21/MWh (around 89c per household per week). The ICRC gave the total cost for the large feed-in tariff scheme for 2015-16 as \$8.4m and the cost of the small-medium feed-in tariff scheme as \$15.3m. The cost of the small-medium feed-in tariff scheme is expected to be relatively stable through to 2020, however, the cost of the large feed-in tariff scheme is expected to rise through to 2020 as more feed-in tariff supported generators begin their output. The ACT Government's estimate of the 2020 cost of the large feed-in tariff scheme, based on projections of output and wholesale prices, is a maximum of \$5.50 per household per week and should fall in years after 2020. This is a conservative forecast and the final cost is likely to be lower than this.
- (4) The cost of the renewable electricity supply shown in **Table 1** is recovered through the

electricity bills of ACT consumers.

- (5) The ACT's electricity estimated annual demand is given in row 6 in **Table 1**, ACT electricity demand is 4% to 5% lower than electricity supply to the ACT because of network losses. The actual demand for each financial year is not available until November after the end of each financial year.
- (6) The large feed-in tariff prices for all the renewable electricity supply shown in rows 1 and 2 in **Table 1** have been secured in deeds of entitlement, the maximum large feed-in tariff payment period is 20 years. The feed-in tariff prices secured for the supply are given in **Table 2**.
- (7) Based on current best estimates of 2020 electricity demand and renewable electricity supplies, the ACT Government does not need to sign any new deeds of entitlement to reach the Territory's 100%-by-2020 renewable electricity target.
- (8) The estimated percentage of the ACT's electricity supply represented by the wind and large solar supply secured through deeds of entitlement is given in row 8 in **Table 1**. The actual percentage for each financial year will not be available until December following the end of each financial year.

Table 1

Row #	Energy source	2017 – MWh	2018 – MWh	2019 – MWh	2020 – MWh	2021 – MWh
1	Large solar generation	72,140	73,708	74,888	74,858	74,559
2	Wind generation	636,823	1,065,075	1,929,274	2,239,924	2,239,924
3	Rooftop solar	69,000	70,500	72,000	74,000	76,000
4	GreenPower	55,500	45,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
5	ACT share of national RET	523,500	569,500	611,500	640,000	643,000
	Total renewable supply	1,356,963	1,823,783	2,727,662	3,068,782	3,073,483
	ACT electricity supply	3,038,500	3,036,500	3,028,500	3,054,000	3,051,000
6	ACT electricity demand	2,904,000	2,902,000	2,895,000	2,919,500	2,916,000
7	% renewable supply	45%	60%	90%	100%	100%
	Large solar and wind generation	708,963	1,138,783	2,004,162	2,314,782	2,314,483
8	% large solar and wind supply	23%	38%	66%	76%	76%

Table 2

Large Feed-in Tariff supported generator	Auction that generator was successful in	Feed-in Tariff price - \$/MWh	Feed-in Tariff commencement date
Royalla Solar Farm	Large-scale solar (fast-track stream) - 2012	\$186.00	18 August 2014
Mugga Lane Solar Park	Large-scale solar (regular stream) - 2013	\$178.00	18 November 2016
Williamsdale Solar Farm	Large-scale solar (regular stream) - 2013	\$186.00	3 February 2017
Ararat Wind Farm	First Wind Auction - 2014	\$87.00	14 April 2017
Coonooer Bridge Wind Farm	First Wind Auction- 2014	\$81.50	27 March 2016
Hornsedale Wind Farm Stage 1	First Wind Auction- 2014	\$92.50	16 February 2017
Hornsedale Wind Farm Stage 2	Second Wind Auction - 2015	\$77.00	1 December 2018
Sapphire 1 Wind Farm	Second Wind Auction - 2015	\$89.10	1 May 2018
Hornsedale Wind Farm Stage 3	Next Generation Renewables Auction - 2016	\$73.00	1 October 2019
Crookwell 2 Wind Farm	Next Generation Renewables Auction - 2016	\$86.60	17 September 2018

