

Answers to questions

Transport Canberra—bus timetable (Question No 2780—revised answer)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 25 October 2019 (*redirected to the Minister for Transport*):

- (1) Prior to Network19, how many (a) 300 series buses were scheduled between Woden and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (b) 300 series buses were scheduled between Tuggeranong and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (c) 300 series buses were scheduled between Civic and Belconnen on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak and (d) 200 series buses were scheduled between Civic and Gungahlin on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak.
- (2) Under Network19, how many (a) R4 buses are scheduled between Woden and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (b) R4 series buses are scheduled between Tuggeranong and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (c) R5 buses are scheduled between Woden and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (d) R5 series buses are scheduled between Tuggeranong and Civic on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak, (e) rapid buses are scheduled between Civic and Belconnen on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak and (f) Light Rail services are scheduled between Civic and Gungahlin on each weekday morning peak, and afternoon peak.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Answers to questions 1(a) to 1(d) are set out in the tables below:

	300 series services scheduled to depart during the weekday morning peak in school terms as at 1 April 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	300 series services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 1 April 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
1a - Woden Interchange to City Interchange	28	30
1a - City Interchange to Woden Interchange	19	37
1b - Tuggeranong Interchange to City Interchange	21	30
1b - City Interchange to Tuggeranong Interchange	24	37
1c - Westfield Belconnen to City Interchange	28	31
1c - City Interchange to Westfield Belconnen	29	29

	200 series services scheduled to depart during the weekday morning peak in school terms as at 1 April 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	200 series services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 1 April 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
1d - Gungahlin Place to City Interchange	31	8
1d - City Interchange to Gungahlin Place	6	38

(2) Answers to questions 2(a) to 2(f) are set out in the tables below:

	R4 services scheduled to depart during the weekday morning peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	R4 services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
2a - Woden Interchange to City Interchange	33	24
2a - City Interchange to Woden Interchange	26	24
2b - Tuggeranong Interchange to City Interchange	17	24
2b - City Interchange to Tuggeranong Interchange	11	22

	R5 services scheduled to depart during the morning weekday peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	R5 services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
2c - Woden Interchange to City Interchange	9	10
2c - City Interchange to Woden Interchange	8	11
2d - Lanyon Marketplace to City Interchange	9	11
2d - City Interchange to Lanyon Marketplace	8	11

	R2, R3 and R4 services scheduled to depart during the weekday morning peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	R2, R3 and R4 services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
2e - Westfield Belconnen to City Interchange	41	45
2e - City Interchange to Westfield Belconnen	49	47

	R1 services scheduled to depart during the weekday morning peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 7.30am and 8.59am)	R1 services scheduled to depart during the weekday afternoon peak in school terms as at 10 December 2019 (scheduled departure between 4pm and 5.59pm)
2f - Gungahlin Place to City Interchange	15	18
2f - City Interchange to Gungahlin Place	15	20

Health—outpatient clinics (Question No 2804)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 25 October 2019:

- (1) What is the average waiting time for outpatients' clinics in each specialty in the ACT.
- (2) What are the opening hours and days for each outpatient clinic for each specialty.
- (3) Has the ACT Health Directorate or Canberra Health Services prepared a costing related to operation of a static pill testing clinic in the ACT; if so, (a) what is the estimate of the (i) capital cost and (ii) recurrent cost, of operating such a clinic and (b) how does this cost compare with the cost of operating other outpatients' clinics in the ACT.
- (4) Has the ACT Health Directorate or Canberra Health Services provided briefing documents to the (a) Minister for Health and (b) Minister for Mental Health, about operation of a static pill testing clinic in the ACT; if so (i) when were these documents prepared and (ii) for whom was the briefing prepared and (iii) what was the advice provided to the relevant Minister.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1)-(2) Average waiting times for an initial appointment at Canberra Health Services (CHS) Outpatient Clinics (from 1 July 2019 to 31 October 2019) are as follows:

Specialty	Average Waiting Time (Days) by Clinical Urgency		
	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
Dermatology	121	*	*
Endocrinology and Diabetes	61	320	648
Ear, Nose and Throat	41	420	1,580
General Surgery	73	205	559
Gastrointestinal	203	404	674
Gynaecology	*	*	183
Immunology (Paediatrics)	*	97	692
Neurology	49	110	311
Neurosurgery	92	154	366
Ophthalmology	86	259	*
Oral Maxillofacial Surgery	42	129	*
Orthopaedics	59	188	921
Paediatrics	86	105	197
Paediatric Surgery	28	139	*
Plastic Surgery	68	381	*
Respiratory	149	347	808
Rheumatology	491	232	*
Urology	54	747	*
Vascular	62	152	179

* Data for clinics where fewer than five patients have been booked via the waiting list have been excluded.

Explanatory Notes:

- Data is only provided for outpatient clinics which use the Ambulatory Care Waitlist in the ACTPAS (ACT Patient Administration System).
- Patients booked directly (and not via the waiting list) are excluded. This occurs regularly for patients with urgent conditions. This also negatively skews the average waiting time, particularly for category 1 patients.
- The data provided includes patient's waiting time from the date they are added to the specialty's waiting list (date of receipt of referral) to the date of removal for appointment.
- The data does not include patients who have been removed from the waitlist for other reasons, including no longer requiring the service.
- Data is presented by specialty which includes multiple clinics. This can negatively distort the overall average waiting time.
- Category 1 target is within 30 days, category 2 target is within 90 days and category 3 target is within 365 days.

CHS Outpatient Clinics are open Monday to Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm. Some clinics offer appointments earlier and later. Registrar review clinic operates on a Saturday and Sunday.

(1)-(2) In relation to Calvary Public Hospital Bruce Outpatient Clinics, for 2018-19:

Specialty	Opening Days and Hours	Average Waiting Time (Days)
Cardiac Rehabilitation	Monday, Wednesday, Friday 8.00am	54
Cardiology Clinic	Tuesday 9.00am, and Friday 1.00pm (two weeks per month)	88
Endocrinology Clinic	Monday, Wednesday 9.00am, and Thursday 1.00pm	142
General Surgery Clinic	Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9.00am	151
Geriatrics Clinic	Monday 1.00pm	149
Gynaecology Clinic	Wednesday 9.00am, and Friday 1.00pm	104
Infectious Diseases Clinic	Tuesday 9.00am	84
Neurology Outpatient Review	Monday 1.00pm	N/A*
Neurology Clinic	Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday 1.00pm, and Friday 9.00am	226
Plastics Clinic	No current clinic	189
Urology Clinic	No current clinic	79
Vascular Clinic	Friday 1.00pm (one week per month)	92

* The average number of waiting days for this clinic is included in the average number of waiting days for the Neurology Clinic.

3) No, ACT Health Directorate has not prepared costing related to the operation of a static pill testing clinic in the ACT.

4) (a) Yes.

- i) June 2018, November and December 2019.
- ii) The former Minister for Health and Wellbeing was briefed on 20 June 2018 and I was briefed on 29 November 2019 and 24 December 2019.
- iii) The former Minister for Health and Wellbeing noted on 20 June 2018 that the ACT Health Directorate met on 12 June 2018 with Directions Health Services following their request to discuss a static pill testing service.

I noted advice on 29 November 2019 and again on 24 December 2019 regarding unsolicited static pill testing proposals from community groups.

(b) No.

Government—invoices (Question No 2811)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What consultancy services were provided by Waterline Data for the payment of \$178 438.66 on 31 July 2019 on the Register of Invoices and what is the total value of the contract.

- (2) What capital project was delivered by Shaw Building Group Pty Ltd for the payment of \$1 773 048.89 on 13 August 2019 on the Register of Invoices.
- (3) What consultancy services were provided by A G Coombs Advisory Pty Ltd for the payment of \$190 509.00 on 15 August 2019 on the Register of Invoices.
- (4) What consultancy services were provided by Shape Australia Pty Ltd for the four payments made in July and August 2019 totalling \$2 823 296.68 on the Register of Invoices.
- (5) What goods and/or services did Symbion Pharmacy Services Pty Ltd supply for the payment of \$31 420.28 on 23 July 2019 on the Register of Invoices and why was the payment described as “Other Creditors”.
- (6) Why were pathology services provided by South Eastern Sydney Local Health District and not a local provider.
- (7) Why did it take more than a year to pay the invoice for \$95 002.63 from Capital Health Network Ltd.
- (8) Why did it take 134 days to pay the invoice for \$25 114.65 from MedRecruit.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

- (1) The payment of \$178 438.66 to Waterline Data Science, Inc. was for a 12 month licensing fee to provide data lineage and other associated metadata for the ACT Health Data Repository.
- (2) The invoice from Shaw Building Group Pty Ltd related to the Electrical Main Switchboards Replacement (Upgrading and Maintaining ACT Health Assets) Project.
- (3) The consultancy services provided by A G Coombs Advisory Pty Ltd related to Electrical Main Switchboards Replacement (Upgrading and Maintaining ACT Health Assets) Project.
- (4) Services provided by Shape Australia relate to:
 - (a) Ward 14A and 14B refurbishment (\$2,554,060.16)
 - (b) LINAC 2 and 3 replacement (\$71,082.00)
 - (c) Maintenance on birthing suites (\$37,837.60)
 - (d) Upgrading and Maintaining ACT Health Assets – Fire Project (\$71,524.09)
 - (e) Clinical Services Inpatient Unit Design and Infrastructure Extension (\$88,792.83)

Note: (d) and (e) were consolidated onto one invoice and constitute one payment.
- (5) The invoice from Symbion Pharmacy Services was for the provision of pharmaceutical supplies for Canberra Health Services, which was incorrectly reported as other creditors.
- (6) The services provided were related to a Genetic Consultant that has provided services under contract since 2016-17. An administrative error resulted in this invoice being recorded as pathology services.

- (7) The 'date invoice received' for the payment of \$95,002.63 to Capital Health Network Ltd was stated as 23 July 2018 due to an error. The correct date was 23 July 2019. The Directorate will take necessary steps to have this record corrected.
- (8) The delay in payment of the invoice to MedRecruit was related to due diligence processes and an administrative delay.

**Budget—arts funding
(Question No 2812)**

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for the Arts, Creative Industries and Cultural Events, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) In relation to the answer given to question on notice No 2796, can the Minister provide a table of data showing the “period of time” and associated sets of source data “attendance figures” used to calculate the average attendance of 350 000 for (a) 2019-20 and (b) each of the three years prior.
- (2) What were the sources for each of the figures provided in part (1)(b) above.
- (3) Why has the Government’s average figure remained static when figures provided by key arts organisations suggest an increasing average.

Mr Ramsay: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

- (1) The number of attendees at programs delivered by artsACT funded organisations has been an accountability indicator since 2016-17 and is therefore reported against in each year’s CMTEDD annual report.

Please note that data is collected as part of the funding acquittal process, which is based on the calendar year. This means that the data published in each annual report refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. the 2016-17 annual report included data from the 2016 calendar year).

Figures for the 2019 calendar year will be reported against in the 2019-20 annual report, and 2020 figures will be included in the 2020-21 annual report.

- (2) The source data for the performance measure is the acquittal information provided by Key Arts and Program funded organisations related to ticketed and non-ticketed events. The data is collected by the funded organisations and collated by artsACT.
- (3) The target was set at 350,000 as a reasonable estimate based on the average from the 2015-16 and 2014-15 results, which was 315,000, with some growth aspiration.

**ACT Health—employment data
(Question No 2813)**

Miss C Burch asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

Can the Minister provide a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed

by ACT Health by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The data tables below contain a breakdown of the total number of administrative officers, general service officers, technical officers, professional officers and other similar classifications by classification within ACT Health (Health Directorate and Canberra Health Services) as at 3 December 2019.

The data within the tables was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21. Where there was no data to be displayed, these classifications have not been listed.

Health Directorate

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by Health Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to 3 December 2019.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	167.11	176	162.69	170
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	0.6	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 1	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	10.13	11	10	10
Admin Service Officer 3	6.7	8	6.7	8
Admin Service Officer 4	22.8	23	17.4	18
Admin Service Officer 5	47.48	49	50.17	52
Admin Service Officer 6	73.4	78	74.42	78
Graduate Admin Asst	5	5	3	3
General Service Officers & Equivalent	5	5	5.6	6
Health Service Off 10	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 3	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 6	2	2	2.6	3
Health Service Off 8	1	1	1	1
Technical Officers	3	3	3	3
Technical Officer 1	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 2	2	2	2	2

Table 2 provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by Health Directorate by (i) full time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to 3 December 2019.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		167.11	176	162.69	170
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS239	0.6	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.1	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.2	0	0	1	1

Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	5.13	6	6	6
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.3	2	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.4	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	3	3	3	3
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	0.4	1	2.4	3
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	4	4	2	2
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	2.3	3	2.3	3
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	6	6	4	4
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	3	3	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	3	3	3	3
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	10.8	11	9.4	10
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	16	16	23.37	24
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	5	5	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	26.48	28	24.8	26
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	13.29	14	12.8	13
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	12	12	5.6	6
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	8.8	9	13.9	14
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	5	5	5	5
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	34.31	38	35.12	38
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	5	5	3	3
General Service Officers & Equivalent		5	5	5.6	6
Health Service Off 10	HS10.5	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 3	HS3.1Y	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 3	HS3.3Y	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 6	HS6.1	0	0	0.6	1
Health Service Off 6	HS6.2	2	2	2	2
Health Service Off 8	HS8.1Y	1	1	1	1
Technical Officers		3	3	3	3
Technical Officer 1	TO1.3	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.1	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.2	1	1	1	1

Canberra Health Services

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by Canberra Health Services by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	825.35	914	839.7	924
Admin Serv Officer 1/2	7.41	8	7.41	8
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	180.11	200	191.07	214
Admin Serv Officer 3/4	2	2	2	2
Admin Service Officer 1	0.48	1	0.48	1
Admin Service Officer 2	62.67	81	59.98	76
Admin Service Officer 3	197.79	223	202.37	225
Admin Service Officer 4	161.13	171	157.54	166
Admin Service Officer 5	68.2	69	67.54	69
Admin Service Officer 6	81.82	88	86.12	92

ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	3.6	4	4.2	5
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	47.98	52	48.17	52
Clinical Coder	11.16	14	10.82	12
CLINICAL CODER LEVEL 2	0	0	1	1
CLINICAL CODER LEVEL 3	0	0	1	1
Graduate Admin Asst	1	1	0	0
General Service Officers & Equivalent	435.17	497	466.36	518
Building Trade 1	7	7	9	9
Facilities Service Off 5	3	3	2	2
Facilities Service Off 7	4	4	0	0
Health Service Off 10	8.97	9	7.97	8
Health Service Off 2	1.82	3	2.28	3
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	40.2	56	28	40
Health Service Off 3	209.76	243	144.45	168
Health Service Off 3/4	6.49	11	88.62	96
Health Service Off 4	31.93	34	41.24	43
Health Service Off 4/5	19	19	17	17
Health Service Off 5	26.68	27	30.76	31
Health Service Off 6	0	0	2	2
Health Service Off 7	12	12	25.79	26
Health Service Off 8	5	5	5	5
Sen Stores Supervisor 1	1	1	1	1
Sen Stores Supervisor 2	3	3	2	2
Senior Building Trade 1	5	5	6	6
Senior Building Trade Inspector	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	36.32	41	37.25	42
Sterilising Serv Tech 1	4	4	5	5
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	7	7	7	8
Stores Supervisor	2	2	3	3
Professional Officers	130.15	153	138.67	164
Infrastructure Officer 1	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	1	1	0.41	1
Research Officer 2	4.62	5	3.76	5
Technical Officers	122.53	145	132.5	156
Technical Officers	0	0	0	0
Technical Officer 1	78.93	99	86.77	107
Technical Officer 2	24.88	27	25.21	28
Technical Officer 3	12.82	13	14.62	15
Technical Officer 4	5.9	6	5.9	6

Table 2 provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by Canberra Health Services by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date. Instances where the officer is at a lower than classification level is explained as the officer being on partial duties. Where the officer is at a higher increment level than classification the level can be explained as the officer has been on higher duties allowance.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		825.34	914	839.7	924
Admin Serv Officer 1/2	AS12.9	0	0	1	1
Admin Serv Officer 1/2	ASO1.4	1.41	2	0.41	1

Admin Serv Officer 1/2	ASO2.5	6	6	6	6
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS231	38.25	44	42.52	50
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS232	9.9	12	1.4	2
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS233	6.3	7	6	7
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS234	0.7	1	2.3	3
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS235	7.76	11	8.59	10
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS236	21.12	23	26.3	29
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS237	8.68	10	8.38	9
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS238	10.69	11	8.6	9
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS239	61.3	65	65.17	70
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO2.1	3.7	4	4.2	5
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO2.2	0	0	0.5	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO2.3	1	1	0	0
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO2.5	0	0	0.5	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO2/3	0	0	0.51	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO231	0.71	1	1	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO236	1	1	1	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO3.1	3	3	8.1	9
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO3.2	0	0	1	1
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO3.3	1	1	2	2
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	ASO3.4	5	5	3	3
Admin Serv Officer 3/4	ASO4.2	1	1	0	0
Admin Serv Officer 3/4	ASO4.3	1	1	2	2
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.4	0.48	1	0.48	1
Admin Service Officer 2	AS231	0.14	1	1.18	2
Admin Service Officer 2	AS232	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	30.39	42	28.39	36
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.2	11.6	14	9.18	11
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.3	6.17	7	4.56	6
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.4	1	1	4	4
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	13.37	16	11.67	16
Admin Service Officer 3	AS231	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 3	AS236	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 3	AS239	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO2.2	2	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO2.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO2.5	1.56	2	1	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	48.15	58	51.93	62
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	21.68	24	18.77	20
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.3	16.72	18	13.13	14
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	103.68	115	114.54	125
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3/1	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	34.92	36	47.2	50
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	19	20	12.2	13
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	12.18	13	12.18	13
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	95.02	102	85.96	90
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.1	0.6	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	17	17	11.94	13
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	9	9	11	11
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	40.6	41	43.6	44
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.4	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO4.4	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	12.95	14	10.8	13
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	6	6	8	8
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	6.4	7	11.6	12
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	6.8	7	3.6	4

Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	48.67	53	51.12	54
ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	AS237	1	1	0	0
ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO2.1	0	0	1	1
ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO2.3	2	2	0	0
ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO2.4	0	0	2	2
ASO2 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO2.5	0.6	1	1.2	2
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3.1	5	6	2.4	3
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3.2	1	1	1.6	2
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3.3	1.71	2	0.94	2
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3.4	37.27	40	41.23	43
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3/1	0	0	1	1
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3/2	1	1	0	0
ASO3 - MEDICAL TYPIST	ASO3/4	2	2	1	1
Clinical Coder	CC.1	1.6	2	0	0
Clinical Coder	CC.4	6.6	7	0	0
Clinical Coder	CC.6	1	1	0	0
Clinical Coder	CC.7	1.96	4	0	0
Clinical Coder	CC1.2	0	0	2.42	3
Clinical Coder	CC1.4	0	0	3	3
Clinical Coder	CC1.5	0	0	1	1
Clinical Coder	CC1.6	0	0	4	4
Clinical Coder	CC1.8	0	0	0.4	1
CLINICAL CODER LEVEL 2	CC2.1	0	0	1	1
CLINICAL CODER LEVEL 3	CC3.1	0	0	1	1
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	1	1	0	0
General Service Officers & Equivalent		435.18	497	466.38	518
Building Trade 1	BT1.3	2	2	1	1
Building Trade 1	BT1.4	4	4	7	7
Building Trade 1	SBT1.4	1	1	1	1
Facilities Service Off 5	FSO5.3	3	3	2	2
Facilities Service Off 7	FSO7.3	4	4	0	0
Health Service Off 10	FSO8.3	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 10	HS10.2	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 10	HS10.3	0.97	1	0.97	1
Health Service Off 10	HS10.4	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 10	HS10.5	6	6	5	5
Health Service Off 2	HS2.4	0.33	1	0.33	1
Health Service Off 2	HS3.1	1.49	2	1.95	2
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS2.1	4.03	7	2.16	3
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS2.1Y	2.39	3	1.93	2
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS2.3	1	1	0	0
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS23.1	6.89	9	3.89	6
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS23.5	4.31	5	3.62	5
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS23.6	0.98	1	1	1
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS3.1	16.07	24	7.67	14

HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS3.1Y	1.03	1	2.94	3
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS3.2	3.3	4	1.26	2
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS3.3	0.2	1	0	0
HEALTH SERVICE OFF 2/3	HS4.2	0	0	3.53	4
Health Service Off 3	HS23.5	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 3	HS23.8	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 3	HS3.1	26.15	34	19.22	25
Health Service Off 3	HS3.2	17.22	22	5.67	8
Health Service Off 3	HS3.3	11.39	12	13.81	14
Health Service Off 3	HS3.4	145.83	163	96.94	110
Health Service Off 3	HS3.4Y	0.98	1	0	0
Health Service Off 3	HS3.5	5.23	7	5.13	6
Health Service Off 3	HS4.2	0	0	2.89	3
Health Service Off 3	HS4.3	0.37	1	0.2	1
Health Service Off 3	TO1.4	0.6	1	0.6	1
Health Service Off 3/4	HS3.1	0.51	2	2.48	5
Health Service Off 3/4	HS3.4	2	2	0	0
Health Service Off 3/4	HS4.2	0	0	76.79	81
Health Service Off 3/4	HS5.1	0	0	4	4
Health Service Off 3/4	HS8.4	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 3/4	S3/4.1	1.9	3	2.36	2
Health Service Off 3/4	S3/4.2	0.31	1	0.47	1
Health Service Off 3/4	S3/4.5	0.45	1	0.53	1
Health Service Off 3/4	S3/4.7	1.32	2	1	1
Health Service Off 4	HS3.2	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 4	HS3.4	1	1	1.53	2
Health Service Off 4	HS3.6	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 4	HS4.1	3	3	12.28	12
Health Service Off 4	HS4.2	2.68	3	1.95	2
Health Service Off 4	HS4.3	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 4	HS4.3Y	0.66	1	0.84	1
Health Service Off 4	HS4.4	20.59	22	21.64	23
Health Service Off 4	HS4.4Y	2	2	0	0
Health Service Off 4/5	HS4.1	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 4/5	HS4.4	2	2	2	2
Health Service Off 4/5	HS45.1	4	4	4	4
Health Service Off 4/5	HS45.2	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 4/5	HS45.3	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 4/5	HS45.6	2	2	1	1
Health Service Off 4/5	HS45.7	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 4/5	HS5.2	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 4/5	HS5.3	1	1	2	2
Health Service Off 4/5	HS5.4	7	7	5	5
Health Service Off 5	HS5.1	12.68	13	13.76	14
Health Service Off 5	HS5.1Y	0	0	2	2
Health Service Off 5	HS5.2	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 5	HS5.3	1	1	1	1
Health Service Off 5	HS5.4	12	12	13	13
Health Service Off 6	HS6.1	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 6	HS6.2	0	0	1	1
Health Service Off 7	HS7.1	7	7	19.79	20
Health Service Off 7	HS7.2	0	0	1	1

Health Service Off 7	HS7.4	5	5	5	5
Health Service Off 8	HS8.3	1	1	0	0
Health Service Off 8	HS8.4	4	4	5	5
Sen Stores Supervisor 1	SSS1.2	1	1	1	1
Sen Stores Supervisor 2	SSS2H2	3	3	2	2
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.1	0	0	1	1
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.3	0	0	1	1
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.4	5	5	4	4
Senior Building Trade Inspector	BTI1.3	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	HS3.1	0	0	3	3
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	S3/4.1	5.2	8	6.07	9
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	S3/4.2	5.96	7	5.07	6
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	S3/4.3	2	2	2.84	3
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	S3/4.4	2	2	2	2
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	S3/4.7	19.16	20	17.27	18
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	SST1.2	1	1	0	0
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	SST2.2	1	1	0	0
Sterilising Serv HSO3/4	SST2.3	0	0	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 1	S3/4.3	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 1	SST1.1	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 1	SST1.4	2	2	3	3
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	SST2.1	0	0	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	SST2.2	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	SST2.3	0	0	2	2
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	SST2.4	1	1	1	1
Sterilising Serv Tech 2	SST2.6	5	5	2	3
Stores Supervisor	SSH1	1	1	2	2
Stores Supervisor	SSH3	1	1	1	1
Professional Officers		7.62	8	6.17	8
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.1	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.4	0	0	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.3	1	1	0	0
Professional Officer 1	PO1.4	0	0	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.1	1	1	0	0
Professional Officer 2	PO2.5	0	0	0.41	1
Research Officer 2	RO2.1	0	0	1	1
Research Officer 2	RO2.2	2.62	3	0.82	1
Research Officer 2	RO2.3	0	0	1	1
Research Officer 2	RO2.4	2	2	0.94	2
Technical Officers		122.53	145	132.5	156
Technical Officer 1	TO1.1	22.68	33	20.07	31
Technical Officer 1	TO1.2	6.43	8	12.17	14
Technical Officer 1	TO1.3	5.21	7	5.79	7
Technical Officer 1	TO1.4	43.98	50	48.11	54
Technical Officer 1	TO1X4	0.63	1	0.63	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.1	0.51	1	2.5	3
Technical Officer 2	TO2.5	3	3	0	0
Technical Officer 2	TO2.6	20.37	22	21.71	24
Technical Officer 2	TO2X6	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 3	TO3.1	1	1	2.8	3
Technical Officer 3	TO3.4	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 3	TO3.6	10.82	11	10.82	11
Technical Officer 4	TO4.5	5.9	6	5.9	6

**Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate—
employment data
(Question No 2814)**

Miss C Burch asked the Minister for Planning and Land Management, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) Can the Minister provide a breakdown of (a) total approved leave by formal classification and division, (b) total costs attributed to approved leave and (c) total leave as a percentage of total attendance hours, for all employees in the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate for (i) 2017-18, (ii) 2018-19 and (iii) 2019-20 to date.
- (2) For the years referred to in parts (1) (i)-(iii), in relation to claims for compensation due to bullying and harassment, what was the (a) total number of claims for compensation lodged, (b) total number of claims paid and (c) total cost of compensation, in each division.

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The data table below contains a breakdown of (a) total approved leave by formal classification and division, (b) total costs attributed to approved leave and (c) total leave as a percentage of total attendance hours, for all employees in the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate for (i) 2017-18, (ii) 2018-19 and (iii) 2019-20 to date. The data within the table was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21.

(Data is available at the Chamber Support Office).

- (2) The number of workers' compensation claims lodged by Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development staff cannot be disaggregated by financial year or business unit due to the small number of claims involved. There were a total of five (5) workers' compensation claims for bullying and harassment lodged by Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development staff in the period 1 July 2017 to 31 October 2019. The five claims were paid and the cost of these claims at 31 October 2019 is \$166,480.

**Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate—
employment data
(Question No 2815)**

Miss C Burch asked the Minister for Planning and Land Management, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

Can the Minister provide a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The data tables contain a breakdown of the total number of administrative officers, general service officers, technical officers, professional officers and other similar classifications by classification within Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate.

The data within the tables was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21. Where there was no data to be displayed, these classifications have not been listed.

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	212.98	212.98	207.77	207.77
Admin Service Officer 1	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
Admin Service Officer 2	2.22	2.22	3.20	3.20
Admin Service Officer 3	3.71	3.71	4.79	4.79
Admin Service Officer 4	23.68	23.68	23.12	23.12
Admin Service Officer 5	71.50	71.50	67.95	67.95
Admin Service Officer 6	104.42	104.42	101.26	101.26
Graduate Admin Asst	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
General Service Officers & Equivalent	68.00	68.00	66.71	66.71
General Service Off 5	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
General Service Off 5/6	47.00	47.00	44.71	44.71
General Service Off 7	11.00	11.00	12.00	12.00
General Service Off 8	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00
General Service Off 9	5.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
Professional Officers	22.53	22.53	20.16	20.16
Infrastructure Officer 1	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Infrastructure Officer 2	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Professional Officer 1	5.03	5.03	4.34	4.34
Professional Officer 2	13.50	13.50	11.82	11.82
Veterinary Officer 2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Veterinary Officer 3	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Technical Officers	52.28	52.28	49.04	49.04
Technical Officer 1	3.00	3.00	3.80	3.80
Technical Officer 2	3.68	3.68	2.82	2.82
Technical Officer 3	20.40	20.40	20.00	20.00
Technical Officer 4	25.20	25.20	22.42	22.42

Table 2 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers and (d) professional officers, employed by the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date. Instances where the officer is at a lower increment than classification level is explained as the officer being on partial duties. Where the officer is at a higher increment level than classification the level can be explained as the officer has been on higher duties allowance.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		212.98	226	207.77	223
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.1	0.45	1	0.45	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	0	0	0.98	3
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.2	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.3	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.4	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	0.22	1	0.22	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	0	0	1.54	2
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	1	1	0.54	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	2.71	3	1.71	2
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	7.85	10	5.03	6
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	1.54	2	1.54	2
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	0	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	12.29	14	13.55	15
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4A2	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4A4	2	2	2	2
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.1	3	3	2	2
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	25	25	22.71	23
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	6.6	7	9.6	10
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	36.9	39	30.9	33
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.4	0	0	0.74	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO6.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	GAA.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.1	2	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.3	0.54	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	29.71	30	27.61	29
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	12.42	13	12	12
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	9.42	10	13.41	15
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	2	2	5.9	6
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	48.33	50	41.34	43
Admin Service Officer 6	PO2.5	0	0	1	1
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	7	7	7	7
General Service Officers & Equivalent		68	69	66.71	67
General Service Off 5	GS56.3	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 5	GSO5.2	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.1	12	12	11.71	12
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.2	5	5	7	7
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.3	5	5	4	4
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.4	5	6	5	5
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.5	1	1	3	3
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.7	9	9	11	11
General Service Off 5/6	GSO5.1	9	9	0	0
General Service Off 5/6	GSO5.2	0	0	2	2
General Service Off 5/6	GSO6.4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 7	GSO7.1	4	4	5	5
General Service Off 7	GSO7.2	3	3	0	0
General Service Off 7	GSO7.3	0	0	2	2
General Service Off 7	GSO7.4	4	4	5	5
General Service Off 8	GSO8.4	4	4	3	3
General Service Off 9	GSO9.1	1	1	1	1

General Service Off 9	GSO9.2	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 9	GSO9.3	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 9	GSO9.7	3	3	3	3
Professional Officers		22.53	29	20.16	21
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.4	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.1	0	0	1	1
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.5	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.1	0.21	4	0	0
Professional Officer 1	PO1.2	0	0	0.8	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.3	0.85	1	0	0
Professional Officer 1	PO1.4	1.27	3	0	0
Professional Officer 1	PO1.6	1	1	0.84	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.7	1.7	2	2.7	3
Professional Officer 2	PO2.1	0	0	3	3
Professional Officer 2	PO2.2	3	3	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.3	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.4	0.6	1	0	0
Professional Officer 2	PO2.5	8.9	9	6.82	7
Veterinary Officer 2	VET2.9	1	1	1	1
Veterinary Officer 3	VET3.2	1	1	1	1
Technical Officers		52.28	55	49.04	50
Technical Officer 1	TO1.1	0	0	2.8	3
Technical Officer 1	TO1X1	2	2	0	0
Technical Officer 1	TO1X2	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 1	TO1X3	0	0	1	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.1	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.4	0.68	1	0	0
Technical Officer 2	TO2.5	0	0	0.82	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2.6	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 2	TO2X1	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 3	TO3.1	7	7	3	3
Technical Officer 3	TO3.2	4	4	4	4
Technical Officer 3	TO3.3	2	2	2	2
Technical Officer 3	TO3.4	2	2	4	4
Technical Officer 3	TO3.5	0	0	1	1
Technical Officer 3	TO3.6	5.4	6	5	5
Technical Officer 3	TO3X2	0	0	1	1
Technical Officer 4	PO2.5	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 4	TO4.1	1.9	3	1	1
Technical Officer 4	TO4.2	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 4	TO4.3	2	2	1	1
Technical Officer 4	TO4.4	1	1	1	1
Technical Officer 4	TO4.5	18.3	19	17.42	18
Technical Officer 4	TO4X5	0	0	1	1

Education Directorate—employment data (Question No 2816)

Miss C Burch asked the Minister for Education and Early Childhood Development, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

Can the Minister provide a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) professional officers, (d) school leaders and (e) other

related classifications, employed by the Education Directorate broken down by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Ms Berry: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The data tables below have been prepared in response to Miss C. Burch Question on Notice and contain a breakdown of the total number of administrative officers, general service officers, technical officers, professional officers and other similar classifications by classification within Education Directorate.

The data within the tables was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21. Where there was no data to be displayed, these classifications have not been listed.

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) professional officers, (d) school leaders and (e) other related classifications, employed by the Education Directorate broken down by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	1460.2	2015	1490.6	2084
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 1	1.5	2	1.5	2
Admin Service Officer 2	7.63	9	4.2	18
Admin Service Officer 3	32.25	33	30.24	31
Admin Service Officer 4	78.56	82	81.13	84
Admin Service Officer 5	94.78	97	95.44	97
Admin Service Officer 6	104.71	108	106.86	110
Casual School Asst 2	169.87	396	174.92	418
Graduate Admin Asst	3	3	3	3
School Assistant 2	162.5	222	166.32	227
School Assistant 2/3	467.24	638	474.83	653
School Assistant 3	252.71	328	264.2	340
School Assistant 4	85.45	97	86.96	100
General Service Officers & Equivalent	118.39	132	122.62	136
Building Service Off 1	36.89	47	33.62	45
Building Service Off 2	41.47	44	41.94	43
Building Service Off 3	28.03	29	35.06	36
Facilities Manager	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 10	8	8	8	8
General Service Off 5	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 8	2	2	2	2
Professional Officers	93.21	111	101.37	120
Infrastructure Officer 2	9.8	10	11.8	12
Manager Psychologist	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	11.47	12	17	18
School Counsellor	0	0	1	1
School Psychologist	57.28	73	56.16	72
Senior Psychologist	13.66	15	14.41	16
School Leaders	761.95	780	780.39	801
School Leader A	108.6	109	0.16	1

School Leader A 1	0	0	48	48
School Leader A 2	0	0	45.6	46
School Leader A 3	0	0	15	15
School Leader B	145.24	147	151.1	154
School Leader C	502.11	518	513.53	530
School Network Leader	6	6	7	7

Table 2 provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) professional officers, (d) school leaders and (e) other related classifications, employed by the Education Directorate broken down by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		1460.2	2015	1490.6	2084
Admin Serv Officer 2/3	AS239	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.2	0.85	1	0.85	1
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.4	0.65	1	0.65	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	3.03	4	0.6	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.2	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	3.6	4	2.6	3
Admin Service Officer 2	ASTS	0	0	0	13
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	10.45	11	7.4	8
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	2	2	4	4
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.3	2	2	4	4
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	13.8	14	10.84	11
Admin Service Officer 3	SA2.5	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 3	SA23.9	2	2	2	2
Admin Service Officer 3	SA3.3	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 3	SA3.4	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	22	22	23.6	24
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	10	10	10	10
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	6	6	8	8
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	40.56	44	39.53	42
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	23	24	25.52	26
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	11	11	14	14
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	60.78	62	55.92	57
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	32.59	33	33.02	34
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	15.7	17	12.7	13
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	9.76	10	10.5	11
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	9	9	11.8	12
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	36.66	38	37.84	39
Admin Service Officer 6	PO2.5	1	1	0	0
Casual School Asst 2		0	0	0.176	1
Casual School Asst 2	CASSA2	168.28	392	173.24	412
Casual School Asst 2	SA2.1	0.2	1	0	2
Casual School Asst 2	SA23.1	0.8	1	0.4	1
Casual School Asst 2	SA23.2	0	0	0.6	1
Casual School Asst 2	SA23.3	0.19	1	0	0
Casual School Asst 2	SA4.1	0.4	1	0.5	1
Graduate Admin Asst	ASO4.1	2	2	2	2
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	1	1	1	1
School Assistant 2	SA2.1	38.22	53	43.41	62

School Assistant 2	SA2.2	12.79	19	16.96	23
School Assistant 2	SA2.3	10.7	15	10.16	15
School Assistant 2	SA2.4	6.77	8	7.65	9
School Assistant 2	SA2.5	77.76	106	73.12	98
School Assistant 2	SA23.1	4.13	6	2.89	4
School Assistant 2	SA23.2	1.53	2	1.9	3
School Assistant 2	SA23.3	4.25	5	2.38	3
School Assistant 2	SA23.4	1.7	2	2.55	3
School Assistant 2	SA23.5	3.12	4	2.07	3
School Assistant 2	SA23.9	1.53	2	1.53	2
School Assistant 2	SA3.2	0	0	0.85	1
School Assistant 2	SA3.3	0	0	0.85	1
School Assistant 2/3	SA2.1	8.47	11	5.36	7
School Assistant 2/3	SA2.2	0.99	2	1.7	3
School Assistant 2/3	SA2.3	2.24	3	1.53	2
School Assistant 2/3	SA2.4	3.68	5	3.51	5
School Assistant 2/3	SA2.5	74.33	101	63.65	88
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.1	141.56	205	140.5	204
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.2	48.48	67	60.1	86
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.3	29.43	38	33.9	44
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.4	17.19	22	13.97	17
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.5	43.54	60	48.88	67
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.6	3.4	4	3.4	4
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.7	4.85	6	10.46	13
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.8	9.92	13	6.38	8
School Assistant 2/3	SA23.9	44.45	57	46.16	60
School Assistant 2/3	SA3.1	0.85	1	0.85	1
School Assistant 2/3	SA3.2	1.7	2	0	0
School Assistant 2/3	SA3.3	2.79	4	3.54	5
School Assistant 2/3	SA3.4	29.37	37	30.94	39
School Assistant 3	SA2.5	2.38	3	1.53	2
School Assistant 3	SA23.1	1.7	2	1.7	2
School Assistant 3	SA23.3	0.34	1	0	0
School Assistant 3	SA23.4	0.85	1	0.85	1
School Assistant 3	SA23.7	1.36	2	2.89	4
School Assistant 3	SA23.8	1.7	2	0.85	1
School Assistant 3	SA23.9	4.25	5	8.26	10
School Assistant 3	SA3.1	50.54	70	48.92	65
School Assistant 3	SA3.2	41.44	52	44.4	56
School Assistant 3	SA3.3	49.87	67	23.14	30
School Assistant 3	SA3.4	98.28	123	130.74	168
School Assistant 3	SA4.1	0	0	0.92	1
School Assistant 4	ASO4.4	1.5	2	0.58	1
School Assistant 4	SA4.1	20.77	24	25.48	30
School Assistant 4	SA4.2	22.11	25	14.71	17
School Assistant 4	SA4.3	13.43	15	16.03	18
School Assistant 4	SA4.4	27.64	31	30.16	34
General Service Officers & Equivalent		118.39	132	122.62	136
Building Service Off 1	BSO.1	1.09	1	0.99	2
Building Service Off 1	BSO1.1	11.3	21	11.43	21
Building Service Off 1	BSO1.2	1	1	0.6	1
Building Service Off 1	BSO1.4	23.5	24	20.6	21
Building Service Off 2	BSO.1	0.6	1	0.6	1
Building Service Off 2	BSO1.1	1	1	0	0
Building Service Off 2	BSO2.1	7	7	14	14

Building Service Off 2	BSO2.2	3.34	4	3	3
Building Service Off 2	BSO2.3	11.5	12	6.84	7
Building Service Off 2	BSO2.4	13.03	14	14.5	15
Building Service Off 2	GSO6.1	2	2	0	0
Building Service Off 2	GSO6.2	1	1	1	1
Building Service Off 2	GSO6.4	2	2	2	2
Building Service Off 3	BSO3.1	7	7	11.53	12
Building Service Off 3	BSO3.2	4	4	6	6
Building Service Off 3	BSO3.3	2	2	2	2
Building Service Off 3	BSO3.4	12.06	13	11.53	12
Building Service Off 3	GSO8.1	2	2	2	2
Building Service Off 3	GSO8.2	0.97	1	2	2
Facilities Manager	GS10I1	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 10	FACMG3	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 10	FACMG4	0	0	4	4
General Service Off 10	FACMG5	0	0	2	2
General Service Off 10	GS10.1	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 10	GS10.2	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 10	GS10.3	3	3	0	0
General Service Off 10	GS10.4	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 10	GS10.5	2	2	0	0
General Service Off 5	GSO5.4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 8	BSO3.1	2	2	0	0
General Service Off 8	BSO3.2	0	0	2	2
Professional Officers		93.21	111	101.37	120
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.1	1	1	1	1
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.2	1	1	1	1
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.3	1	1	1	1
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.4	1	1	2	2
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.5	5.8	6	6.8	7
Manager Psychologist	MPSY1	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.1	4	4	6.71	7
Professional Officer 2	PO2.2	2	2	2.82	3
Professional Officer 2	PO2.5	5.47	6	7.47	8
School Counsellor	ESP3.2	0	0	1	1
School Psychologist	CT.10	0	0	0.4	1
School Psychologist	ESP2.1	2.06	3	2	3
School Psychologist	ESP2.2	1	1	1	1
School Psychologist	ESP2.3	2	2	2	2
School Psychologist	ESP2.4	0	0	0.8	1
School Psychologist	ESP3.1	1	1	1.3	2
School Psychologist	ESP3.2	7.13	9	6	7
School Psychologist	HP2MP6	0.8	1	0	0
School Psychologist	NSP1.3	3.21	4	3	4
School Psychologist	S/PSY3	0	0	0.8	1
School Psychologist	S/PSY4	1.95	2	0.98	1
School Psychologist	S/PSY5	4	4	3.4	4
School Psychologist	S/PSY6	1	1	1	1
School Psychologist	S/PSY7	2.18	3	2.58	4
School Psychologist	S/PSY8	0.8	1	0.8	1
School Psychologist	S/PSY9	29.25	40	29.2	38
School Psychologist	SPSY1	0.9	1	0.9	1
Senior Psychologist	SPSY1	13.66	15	14.41	16
School Leaders		761.95	780	780.39	801
School Leader A	SLA1	0	0	0.16	1
School Leader A	SLB.1	1	1	0	0

School Leader A	T4.4	49	49	0	0
School Leader A	T4.5	26	26	0	0
School Leader A	T4.6	14.6	15	0	0
School Leader A	T4.7	8	8	0	0
School Leader A	T4.8	5	5	0	0
School Leader A	T4.9	5	5	0	0
School Leader A 1	SLA1	0	0	47	47
School Leader A 1	SLA2	0	0	1	1
School Leader A 2	SLA1	0	0	2	2
School Leader A 2	SLA2	0	0	42.6	43
School Leader A 2	SLA3	0	0	1	1
School Leader A 3	SLA2	0	0	1	1
School Leader A 3	SLA3	0	0	14	14
School Leader B	SLB.1	143.24	145	150.1	153
School Leader B	SLC.1	1	1	0	0
School Leader B	SOB.3	1	1	1	1
School Leader C	CT.10	3	3	2.7	3
School Leader C	CT.2	0	0	1	1
School Leader C	CT.7	1	1	1	1
School Leader C	CT4.1	0.33	1	0.24	1
School Leader C	SLB.1	0	0	1	1
School Leader C	SLC.1	497.78	513	506.59	522
School Leader C	SOB.3	0	0	1	1
School Network Leader	SNL	4	4	6	6
School Network Leader	T4.10	2	2	1	1

Community Services Directorate—employment data (Question No 2817)

Miss C Burch asked the Minister for Community Services and Facilities, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

Can the Minister provide a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Community Services Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Ms Orr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The data tables below contain a breakdown of the total number of administrative officers, general service officers, technical officers, professional officers and other similar classifications by classification within Community Services Directorate.

The data within the tables was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21. Where there was no data to be displayed, these classifications have not been listed.

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Community Services Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	408.57	433	416.86	440
Admin Service Officer 1	2.4	3	2.4	3
Admin Service Officer 2	4.24	5	4	4
Admin Service Officer 3	41.88	46	43.58	48
Admin Service Officer 4	45.93	49	49.01	52
Admin Service Officer 5	118.6	124	108.42	114
Admin Service Officer 6	146.73	153	152.9	160
Graduate Admin Asst	4	4	4	4
Youth Worker 1	14	18	7.98	9
Youth Worker 1/2	28	28	41.78	43
Disability Officers	0	0	0	0
Disability Support Off 1	2.79	3	2.79	3
General Service Officers & Equivalent	4.4	5	4.86	6
General Service Off 6	0	0	0.63	1
General Service Off 7	1	1	0.63	1
General Service Off 8	2	2	2	2
Health Assistants	0	0	0	0
ALLIED HLTH ASSIST 3	1.4	2	1.6	2
Professional Officers	1.4	2	1.4	2
Professional Officer 2	1.4	2	1.4	2
Technical Officers	0.66	1	1.2	2
Technical Officer 1	0.66	1	1.2	2

Table 2 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Community Services Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (iv) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date. Instances where the officer is at a lower increment than classification level is explained as the officer being on partial duties.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		408.56	433	416.86	440
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.4	2.4	3	2.4	3
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	1.24	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.3	2	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.4	0	0	2	2
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	1	1	2	2
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	13.63	15	19.13	21
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	6.47	8	5.27	6
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.3	3.8	4	4.2	5
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	15.98	17	12.98	14
Admin Service Officer 3	YW1.4	2	2	2	2
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO3.2	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO3.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	17.24	19	19.45	21
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	4	4	7.79	8
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	4.61	5	2.37	3
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	17.08	18	17.4	18
Admin Service Officer 4	YW2.4	2	2	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO3.1	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.3	0	0	1	1

Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.4	0	0	0.8	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	33	33	17.8	18
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	15	15	20	20
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	60.6	66	61.61	66
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5A1	3	3	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5A2	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5A3	5	5	4	4
Admin Service Officer 5	YW1.2	0	0	0.21	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.1	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	43.73	45	37.31	38
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	17.91	18	28.54	29
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	16.4	17	10.6	11
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	10.36	11	11.66	14
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	55.33	59	60.79	64
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6A1	2	2	2	2
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	4	4	4	4
Youth Worker 1	YW1.1	4.58	7	4.98	6
Youth Worker 1	YW1.2	6	6	2	2
Youth Worker 1	YW1.3	0.27	1	0	0
Youth Worker 1	YW1.4	2	2	1	1
Youth Worker 1	YW12.2	1	1	0	0
Youth Worker 1	YW12.3	0.14	1	0	0
Youth Worker 1/2	YW1.1	0	0	8	8
Youth Worker 1/2	YW1.2	8	8	9	10
Youth Worker 1/2	YW1.3	3	3	4	4
Youth Worker 1/2	YW1.4	8	8	8	8
Youth Worker 1/2	YW12.2	0	0	1	1
Youth Worker 1/2	YW2.1	2	2	3	3
Youth Worker 1/2	YW2.3	1	1	1	1
Youth Worker 1/2	YW2.4	6	6	7.78	8
Disability Support Off 1	DSO1.4	2.79	3	2.79	3
General Service Officers & Equivalent		4.4	5	4.86	6
General Service Off 6	GSO6.1	0	0	0.63	1
General Service Off 7	GSO7.1	1	1	0.63	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8.2	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 8	GSO8.3	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8.4	1	1	1	1
ALLIED HLTH ASSIST 3	AHA3.3	1.4	2	1.6	2
Professional Officers		1.4	2	1.4	2
Professional Officer 2	PO2.1	0.6	1	0.6	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.5	0.8	1	0.8	1
Technical Officers		0.66	1	1.2	2
Technical Officer 1	TO1.1	0.66	1	1.2	2

**Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate—
employment data
(Question No 2818)**

Miss C Burch asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) Can the Treasurer provide a breakdown of (a) total approved leave by formal classification and division, (b) the total costs attributed to approved leave and (c) total

leave as a percentage of total attendance hours, for all employees in the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate for (i) 2017-18, (ii) 2018-19 and (iii) 2019-20 to date.

- (2) For the years referred to in parts (1) (i)-(iii), in relation to claims for compensation due to bullying and harassment, what was the (a) total number of claims for compensation lodged, (b) total number of claims paid and (c) total cost of compensation, in each division.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The data table below contains a breakdown of (a) total approved leave by formal classification and division, (b) the total costs attributed to approved leave and (c) total leave as a percentage of total attendance hours, for all employees in the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate for (i) 2017-18, (ii) 2018-19 and (iii) 2019-20 to date. The data within the table was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21.

(Data table is available at the Chamber Support Office).

- (2) The number of workers' compensation claims lodged by Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development staff cannot be disaggregated by financial year or business unit due to the small number of claims involved. There were a total of eight (8) workers' compensation claims for bullying and harassment lodged by Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development staff in the period 1 July 2017 to 31 October 2019. The eight claims were paid and the cost of these claims at 31 October 2019 is \$229,170. This data was provided by Workplace Safety and Industrial Relations, Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate.

Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate— employment data (Question No 2819)

Miss C Burch asked the Treasurer, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

Can the Treasurer provide a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification and (d) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

The data tables below contain a breakdown of the total number of administrative officers, general service officers, technical officers, professional officers and other similar classifications by classification within Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Directorate (CMTEDD).

The data within the tables was obtained from the ACTPS Human Resources Management Information System, CHRIS 21. Where there was no data to be displayed, these classifications have not been listed.

Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, (iii) ACT public service classification during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date.

Classification	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
	2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers	1148.46	1216	1182.09	1234
Admin Service Officer 1	23.84	27	8.37	10
Admin Service Officer 2	67.7	79	68.08	75
Admin Service Officer 3	154.3	166	199.79	213
Admin Service Officer 4	274.36	290	290.04	301
Admin Service Officer 5	234.71	244	234.43	243
Admin Service Officer 6	353.55	370	341.38	352
Graduate Admin Asst	14	14	13	13
Tourism & Events Off 6	1	1	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	25	25	26	26
General Service Officers & Equivalent	60.91	63	62.71	63
Building Trade 1	6	6	8	8
Building Trade Inspector	6	6	6	6
General Service Off 10	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 3/4	3	3	3	3
General Service Off 5	0	1	0	0
General Service Off 5/6	5	5	3	3
General Service Off 6	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 7	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 8	13	14	11	11
General Service Off 9	3	3	2	2
Senior Building Trade 1	4	4	5	5
Senior Building Trade Inspector	17.91	18	21.71	22
Professional Officers	40.64	42	28.76	30
Infrastructure Officer 1	4	4	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 2	20.53	21	12.64	13
Professional Officer 1	7	7	7	7
Professional Officer 2	4.99	5	4	4
Public Affairs Officer 1	2.12	3	3.12	4
Public Affairs Officer 2	1	1	1	1
Public Affairs Officer 3	1	1	1	1
Technical Officers	16.68	17	15.82	17
Technical Officer 3	10	10	9.14	10
Technical Officer 4	6.68	7	6.68	7

Table 2 below provides a breakdown of the total number of (a) administrative officers, (b) general service officers, (c) technical officers, (d) professional officers and (e) other similar classifications, employed by the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate by (i) full-time equivalent, (ii) headcount, and (d) band level within each classification, during (A) 2018-19 and (B) 2019-20 to date. Instances where the officer is at a lower than classification level is explained as the officer being on partial duties. Where the officer is at a higher increment level than classification the level can be explained as the officer has been on higher duties allowance.

Classification	Increment	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount
		2018-19	2018-19	2019-20	2019-20
Administrative Officers		1148.47	1216	1182.09	1234
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.1	14.6	16	0	0
Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.2	2.9	3	4.36	5

Admin Service Officer 1	ASO1.4	6.34	8	4.01	5
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.1	25.35	33	28.68	35
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.2	8.68	11	7.4	8
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.3	5	5	2	2
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.4	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO2.5	25.86	26	29	29
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO3.1	0.14	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO3.4	0.68	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 2	ASO5.1	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO2.3	0	0	0.91	1
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.1	61.96	68	76.48	81
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.2	21.3	23	44.18	47
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.3	9	9	20.56	21
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO3.4	62.04	66	56.66	62
Admin Service Officer 3	ASO4.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO3.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO3.2	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO3.4	0	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.1	81.79	86	94.72	97
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.2	25.14	26	39.54	40
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.3	30.51	32	23.72	25
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO4.4	135.24	143	129.06	136
Admin Service Officer 4	ASO5.1	0.68	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.2	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO4.4	0	0	1.8	2
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.1	87.27	90	76.13	78
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.2	33.32	34	37.82	39
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO5.3	112.12	118	116.68	122
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO6.1	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 5	ASO6.3	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO4.1	0	0	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO4.3	1	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO4.4	0	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.2	0.64	1	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5.3	1	2	0	0
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO5C1	1	1	1	1
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.1	116.2	120	98.02	100
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.2	37.73	39	43.27	45
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.3	26.75	28	33.57	35
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.4	23.13	25	18.69	19
Admin Service Officer 6	ASO6.5	143.1	149	141.83	147
Admin Service Officer 6	WCO6.5	3	3	4	4
Graduate Admin Asst	GAA.1	14	14	13	13
Tourism & Events Off 6	ASO6.5	1	1	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	ASO5.3	0	0	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	ASO6.1	1	1	0	0
Work Cover Officer 6	ASO6.2	0	0	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	ASO6.5	2	2	2	2
Work Cover Officer 6	WCO6.1	1	1	2	2
Work Cover Officer 6	WCO6.2	2	2	0	0
Work Cover Officer 6	WCO6.3	1	1	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	WCO6.4	1	1	1	1
Work Cover Officer 6	WCO6.5	17	17	18	18

General Service Officers & Equivalent		60.91	63	62.71	63
Building Trade 1	BT1.1	1	1	1	1
Building Trade 1	BT1.2	1	1	2	2
Building Trade 1	BT1.4	4	4	5	5
Building Trade Inspector	SBTI	6	6	6	6
General Service Off 10	GS10.5	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 3/4	GS34.4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 3/4	GSO3.1	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 3/4	GSO3.2	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 3/4	GSO4.4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 5	GS56I1	0	1	0	0
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.1	2	2	1	1
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.3	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 5/6	GS56.4	2	2	2	2
General Service Off 6	GSO6I4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 7	GSO7.4	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8.1	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8.2	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 8	GSO8.3	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8.4	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 8	GSO8I2	1	2	0	0
General Service Off 8	GSO8I3	2	2	1	1
General Service Off 8	GSO8I4	7	7	8	8
General Service Off 9	GSO9.1	1	1	1	1
General Service Off 9	GSO9.3	1	1	0	0
General Service Off 9	GSO9.4	0	0	1	1
General Service Off 9	GSO9.7	1	1	0	0
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.1	3	3	3	3
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.2	1	1	1	1
Senior Building Trade 1	SBT1.4	0	0	1	1
Senior Building Trade Inspector	BTI11Z	0	0	2	2
Senior Building Trade Inspector	BTI12Z	1	1	1	1
Senior Building Trade Inspector	BTI13B	1.91	2	1.91	2
Senior Building Trade Inspector	BTI13Z	15	15	16.8	17
Professional Officers		40.64	42	28.76	30
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.1	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.2	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.3	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 1	IO1.4	1	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.1	4	4	4	4
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.2	2	2	1	1
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.3	2.65	3	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.4	2	2	2	2
Infrastructure Officer 2	IO2.5	8	8	4.64	5
Infrastructure Officer 2	ITO2.2	0.88	1	0	0
Infrastructure Officer 2	ITO2.4	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.1	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.3	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.4	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 1	PO1.6	4	4	0	0
Professional Officer 1	PO1.7	0	0	4	4
Professional Officer 2	PO2.1	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.2	0	0	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.3	1	1	1	1
Professional Officer 2	PO2.5	2.99	3	1	1

Public Affairs Officer 1	PAO1.3	0	0	1	1
Public Affairs Officer 1	PAO1.4	2.12	3	2.12	3
Public Affairs Officer 2	PAO2.1	1	1	1	1
Public Affairs Officer 3	PAO3.1	1	1	0	0
Public Affairs Officer 3	PAO3.3	0	0	1	1
Technical Officers		16.68	17	15.82	17
Technical Officer 3	TO3.1	2	2	2	2
Technical Officer 3	TO3.3	2	2	2	2
Technical Officer 3	TO3.5	2	2	1	1
Technical Officer 3	TO3.6	4	4	4.14	5
Technical Officer 4	TO4.1	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 4	TO4.2	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 4	TO4.3	0	0	1	1
Technical Officer 4	TO4.4	1	1	0	0
Technical Officer 4	TO4.5	2.68	3	4.68	5
Technical Officer 4	WCO6.5	1	1	1	1

Hospitals—aged-care assessments (Question No 2820)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) In relation to the answer given to the question taken on notice on 19 September 2019, what was the average number of days patients remained in hospital after receiving the results of an Aged Care Assessment Team assessment, in (a) 2016-17, (b) 2017-18 and (c) 2018-19.
- (2) In (a) 2016-17, (b) 2017-18 and (c) 2018-19, of those who remained, how many remained due to a medical condition or other circumstance that required continuing hospitalisation.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Although ACT Health Directorate and Canberra Health Services do not collect data on the length of time patients are waiting for suitable accommodation following an Aged Care Assessment Team (ACAT) assessment, there is data available from the Report on Government Services (RoGS) that might be relevant to the Member's question.

RoGS 2019 shows that the median elapsed time between ACAT approval and entry into residential aged care in the ACT was 98 days for Home Care levels 1 to 4 in 2016-17. This compared to the national median elapsed time of 67 days for the same year. In 2017-18, median elapsed time was 164 days for the ACT and 137 days nationally. Data for 2018-19 is not yet available through ROGS.

Elapsed time is a measure between ACAT approval and entry into residential aged care due to factors which may or may not be related to 'wait time'. It should also be noted that elapsed time could happen at or outside of the hospital.

The RoGS 2019 report can be accessed at:

<https://www.pc.gov.au/research/ongoing/report-on-government-services/2019/community-services/aged-care-services/rogs-2019-partf-chapter14.pdf>

- (2) ACT Health Directorate and Canberra Health Services do not collect data on patient's medical condition or other circumstances that require further hospitalisation following an Aged Care Assessment Team assessment. This information is also not available in other national reports.

Hospitals—procedures data (Question No 2821)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

In relation to the answer given to question on notice No 2725, in 2016/17 (a) what were the 20 most common procedures performed, (b) how many procedures were performed in each procedure category, (c) what was the average cost for each procedure category, (d) what was the Australian average for each procedure category and (e) what was the average cost for peer group hospitals for each procedure category, in (i) The Canberra Hospital and (ii) Calvary Public Hospital Bruce.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

Response to questions a, b, c & d:

Tables 1 and 2 summarise the 20 most common procedures for Canberra Health Services (CHS) and Calvary Public Hospital, Bruce (CPHB) as follows;

- 20 most common procedures with procedure count, average cost details;
- National figures (procedure count and average costs).

Principal Procedure	ACT Public Hospitals (a, b, c)		National Average Cost per Procedure (d)	
	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure
1310000-Haemodialysis	21,144	\$518	1,115,370	\$645
9619909-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, other and unspecified pharmacological agent	3,207	\$614	104,506	\$1,903
9555003-Allied health intervention, physiotherapy	2,873	\$6,244	212,907	\$6,356
1370605-Administration of gamma globulin	1,312	\$1,682	57,188	\$2,054
9555001-Allied health intervention, social work	1,223	\$7,520	74,274	\$6,378
3821800-Coronary angiography with left heart catheterisation	939	\$5,021	33,664	\$7,395
9048100-Suture of first or second degree tear of perineum	850	\$5,261	39,567	\$4,910
9555000-Allied health intervention, dietetics	848	\$9,474	64,305	\$9,029
9555009-Allied health intervention, pharmacy	652	\$7,413	118,603	\$5,032
9066500-Excisional debridement of skin and subcutaneous tissue	617	\$7,900	18,621	\$8,464
1370602-Administration of packed cells	513	\$10,305	62,803	\$5,437

Principal Procedure	ACT Public Hospitals (a, b, c)		National Average Cost per Procedure (d)	
	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure
1652002-Elective lower segment caesarean section	503	\$10,688	33,430	\$10,420
1652003-Emergency lower segment caesarean section	502	\$13,929	31,109	\$12,492
3057200-Laparoscopic appendicectomy	485	\$9,161	27,942	\$7,311
9555002-Allied health intervention, occupational therapy	478	\$6,842	69,186	\$7,056
3830600-Percutaneous insertion of 1 transluminal stent into single coronary artery	376	\$6,167	10,596	\$10,803
1310008-Continuous peritoneal dialysis, long term	350	\$922	6,968	\$2,895
3044500-Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	338	\$12,764	27,258	\$9,237
9220900-Management of non-invasive ventilatory support, <= 24 hours	314	\$13,040	21,247	\$9,634
4736300-Closed reduction of fracture of distal radius	275	\$3,317	8,052	\$2,691

Table 2 : Calvary Public Hospital, Bruce – 2016-17

Principal Procedure	ACT Public Hospitals (a,b,c)		National Average Cost per Procedure (d)	
	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure
4270204-Extracapsular extraction of crystalline lens by phacoemulsification and aspiration of cataract with insertion of foldable artificial lens	1,210	\$5,325	68,471	\$2,740
9555003-Allied health intervention, physiotherapy	1,111	\$7,601	212,907	\$6,356
9619909-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, other and unspecified pharmacological agent	954	\$1,167	104,506	\$1,903
3209300-Fibreoptic colonoscopy to caecum, with polypectomy	787	\$2,080	55,272	\$2,478
3047301-Panendoscopy to duodenum with biopsy	751	\$3,482	68,034	\$3,287
9555002-Allied health intervention, occupational therapy	531	\$12,253	69,186	\$7,056
9619900-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, antineoplastic agent	528	\$1,831	207,737	\$2,370
9555001-Allied health intervention, social work	518	\$6,942	74,274	\$6,378
3209000-Fibreoptic colonoscopy to caecum	445	\$1,857	55,256	\$2,210

Principal Procedure	ACT Public Hospitals (a,b,c)		National Average Cost per Procedure (d)	
	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure	Procedure Count	Avg Cost per Procedure
9555009-Allied health intervention, pharmacy	424	\$6,795	118,603	\$5,032
9555012-Allied health intervention, pastoral care	406	\$7,769	3,751	\$6,209
9048100-Suture of first- or second-degree tear of perineum	389	\$4,629	39,567	\$4,910
3681200-Cystoscopy	346	\$2,505	40,441	\$1,418
9555000-Allied health intervention, dietetics	332	\$10,713	64,305	\$9,029
3057200-Laparoscopic appendectomy	273	\$6,466	27,942	\$7,311
1652003-Emergency lower segment caesarean section	242	\$11,971	31,109	\$12,492
1652002-Elective lower segment caesarean section	218	\$8,930	33,430	\$10,420
3564000-Dilation & curettage of uterus [D&C]	202	\$3,902	22,585	\$2,976
3683301-Endoscopic removal of ureteric stent	200	\$2,602	7,259	\$2,063
1370602-Administration of packed cells	194	\$8,933	62,803	\$5,437

Response to question e:

The 20 most common procedures against peer group activity-count and average cost, are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3 - Canberra Health Services – 2016-17	
Principal Procedure	Peer Group data Avg Cost per Procedure
1310000-Haemodialysis	\$664
9619909-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, other and unspecified pharmacological agent	\$1,914
9555003-Allied health intervention, physiotherapy	\$6,236
1370605-Administration of gamma globulin	\$2,051
9555001-Allied health intervention, social work	\$6,334
3821800-Coronary angiography with left heart catheterisation	\$7,536
9048100-Suture of first- or second-degree tear of perineum	\$4,774
9555000-Allied health intervention, dietetics	\$9,365
9555009-Allied health intervention, pharmacy	\$5,025
9066500-Excisional debridement of skin and subcutaneous tissue	\$9,060
1370602-Administration of packed cells	\$5,565
1652002-Elective lower segment caesarean section	\$10,208
1652003-Emergency lower segment caesarean section	\$12,235
3057200-Laparoscopic appendectomy	\$7,289
9555002-Allied health intervention, occupational therapy	\$7,020
3830600-Percutaneous insertion of 1 transluminal stent into single coronary artery	\$11,062
1310008-Continuous peritoneal dialysis, long term	\$2,790
3044500-Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	\$9,794
9220900-Management of non-invasive ventilatory support, <= 24 hours	\$9,774
4736300-Closed reduction of fracture of distal radius	\$2,747

Principal Procedure	Avg Cost per Procedure
4270204-Extracapsular extraction of crystalline lens by phacoemulsification and aspiration of cataract with insertion of foldable artificial lens	\$2,912
9555003-Allied health intervention, physiotherapy	\$6,236
9619909-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, other and unspecified pharmacological agent	\$1,914
3209300-Fibreoptic colonoscopy to caecum, with polypectomy	\$2,688
3047301-Panendoscopy to duodenum with biopsy	\$3,840
9555002-Allied health intervention, occupational therapy	\$7,020
9619900-Intravenous administration of pharmacological agent, antineoplastic agent	\$2,340
9555001-Allied health intervention, social work	\$6,334
3209000-Fibreoptic colonoscopy to caecum	\$2,475
9555009-Allied health intervention, pharmacy	\$5,025
9555012-Allied health intervention, pastoral care	\$6,221
9048100-Suture of first- or second-degree tear of perineum	\$4,774
3681200-Cystoscopy	\$1,467
9555000-Allied health intervention, dietetics	\$9,365
3057200-Laparoscopic appendicectomy	\$7,289
1652003-Emergency lower segment caesarean section	\$12,235
1652002-Elective lower segment caesarean section	\$10,208
3564000-Dilation & curettage of uterus [D&C]	\$3,088
3683301-Endoscopic removal of ureteric stent	\$2,164
1370602-Administration of packed cells	\$5,565

Canberra Hospital—hydrotherapy pool (Question No 2822)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) During (a) 2017-18, (b) 2018-19 and (c) 2019-20 (to the date on which this question was published in the Questions on Notice Paper), (i) what upgrades, improvements, changes or other modifications (upgrades) were made to or are in progress at the hydrotherapy pool and any associated infrastructure or equipment at The Canberra Hospital (the pool), (ii) what is the cost of each element of the upgrades, (iii) what is the purpose of the upgrades, (iv) how and to what extent will the functionality of the pool be improved by the upgrades, (v) following the upgrades, what now is the life expectancy of the pool and (vi) how and to what extent is user access to or other use of the hydrotherapy pool itself enhanced.
- (2) As at the date on which this question was published in the Questions on Notice paper (a) what upgrades, improvements, changes or other modifications (upgrades) are planned for the hydrotherapy pool and any associated infrastructure or equipment at The Canberra Hospital (the pool), (b) what is the budget or, if relevant, estimated cost, for each element of the upgrades cost, (c) why are the upgrades required, (d) what differences will the upgrades make to the functionality of the pool, (e) what difference will the upgrades make to the life expectancy of the pool and (f) what differences will the upgrades make to user access to or other use of the hydrotherapy pool itself.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1)
- a. None in 2017-18.
 - b. None in 2018-19.
 - c. In 2019-20:
 - i. A compliance upgrade of the access stairs for the plantroom has been undertaken for the hydrotherapy pool.
 - ii. The total cost of compliance upgrade is \$14,740.00.
 - iii. To provide compliant access to the plantroom.
 - iv. No improvement to the functionality of the pool.
 - v. No difference to the life expectancy of the pool.
 - vi. No difference to users of the pool.
- (2) As outlined in my 28 November 2019 Ministerial Statement to the Legislative Assembly, the pool is at the end of its life and needs to close. Therefore, there are no planned upgrades for the pool. Instead, we are working with Arthritis ACT to identify appropriate alternative arrangements.

Casey—community facilities (Question No 2823)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for Sport and Recreation, upon notice, on 29 November 2019 (*redirected to the Minister for Planning and Land Management*):

In relation to the Government being called on to commit to commencing community consultation for community facilities in and around Casey in a motion brought to the Assembly on 5 June 2019, (a) has consultation commenced; if so, what date did it commence, (b) what methods are being used to consult with stakeholders, businesses and residents in Casey, (c) what is the number of interactions with stakeholders, businesses and residents that has been had during consultation, (d) what is the timeline of consultation and when will it be complete, (e) what outcomes will the consultation produce and (f) will the results of the consultation be made public; if so, when.

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- a) No. Consultation about community facilities in and around Casey is scheduled to commence February 2020 following completion of community needs assessments.
- b) A range of consultation methods will be used including presentations to the Gungahlin Community Council, public 'meet the planner' sessions, community polling and social media.
- c) See above.
- d) Consultation is anticipated to commence in February 2020 and run for approximately six weeks.
- e) Consultation will be used to inform:
 - A shared understanding of the needs for community and recreation facilities in Casey and the immediate surrounding suburbs; and

- appropriate future uses for Blocks 12 and 13 (previously 2 and 3) Section 132 which are scheduled to be handed back to the ACT Government as serviced blocks in December 2019 and released to the market in 2020-21 financial year.
- f) The method and results of consultation will be documented in a community consultation report. This report will be uploaded onto the project's 'YourSay' webpage and community will be advised of its release via email and social media.

Light rail—review (Question No 2824)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for Transport, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

In relation to the review of Light Rail within 12 months of operations commencing, as committed to in the City to Gungahlin Light Rail Project Delivery Report delivered on 21 June 2019 and affirmed in a motion passed 31 July 2019 (a) has the review commenced, (b) what date will the review be finalised, (c) what date will the review be made public, (d) what date will the review be tabled in the Legislative Assembly, (e) will businesses along the light rail corridor be consulted for the review, (f) what opportunities will be provided to businesses along the light rail corridor to contribute to the review, (g) will businesses along the light rail corridor and in the Gungahlin town centre be able to provide financial data to aid the review, (h) what methods have been used to engage with stakeholders and businesses for the review, (i) have any individuals or stakeholders submitted input to the review, (j) what is the scope and methodology of the review and (k) will recommendations be provided from the review.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

I refer to the Response to the resolution of the Assembly of 31 July 2019—Scope and Methodology of Light Rail Stage 1 Benefits Review—Ministerial statement tabled in the Legislative Assembly on 28 November 2019.

Roads—maintenance (Question No 2825)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for Roads and Active Travel, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

In relation to the replacement of the median strip on Kelleway Avenue, Nicholls, just before the Hu Gui Bao En Temple (a) what defects were identified with the previous median strip that required it to be replaced, (b) if no defects were present, what was the reasoning behind replacing the median strip, (c) did works relating to the replacement of the median strip disrupt traffic along Kelleway Avenue (such as reduced speed limit), (d) was any consultation conducted relating to the preferred time for works to be conducted to replace the median strip with schools and other destinations along Kelleway Avenue and (e) what was the total cost to replace the median strip.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (a) & (b) The median strip was replaced to improve safety for children who walk or ride to nearby schools Holy Spirit Primary School and Gold Creek Primary School.

- (c) The works were undertaken during the school holidays and outside peak times to minimise the impact on motorists and residents. The works were completed in just over three days with a 40 km/h speed limit implemented during this time.
 - (d) No consultation was undertaken because there is no direct residential frontage or driveways leading to the location of the works. Transport Canberra and City Services arranged for the works to be undertaken during the school holidays to reduce the level of disruption.
 - (e) The cost to construct the median strip was \$24,992.00 (including GST).
-

Roads—traffic management (Question No 2826)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for Roads and Active Travel, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) When was the most recent assessment of the traffic signal system conducted along Horse Park Drive.
- (2) What is the reasoning behind the decision to not coordinate the traffic light signals along Horse Park Drive.
- (3) When is the next assessment of the current system of traffic signals along Horse Park Drive due to be undertaken.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

- (1) These traffic signals were last reviewed in August 2019.
- (2) These traffic signals have never provided co-ordination at all times of day. Throughout peak times, these signals are generally coordinated.

Coordination is removed at times when through-traffic demands do not warrant the increase in delays to pedestrians or side street traffic and/or the quality of coordination is poor.

- (3) The operation of these signals is currently under review.
-

Suburban Land Agency—programs (Question No 2827)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for Housing and Suburban Development, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What was the actual spend for the community development program “Mingle” operated by the Suburban Land Agency in the budget year 2018 19.
- (2) What is the budget expenditure for the Mingle program in the 2019-20 year (current budget) and for each year across the forward estimates.

- (3) Of the expenditure in parts (1) and (2), what is the breakdown of actual spend on each individual program in (a) Moncrieff, (b) Throsby and (c) Taylor.
- (4) What is the total number of staff employed within the Suburban Land Agency to operate the Mingle program and how many staff are employed to operate each individual program in (a) Moncrieff, (b) Throsby and (c) Taylor.
- (5) Is there a set date that each individual Mingle program will cease; if yes what is the set date for the individual programs to cease for (a) Moncrieff, (b) Throsby and (c) Taylor.
- (6) If there is no set date for individual programs to cease, what is the reasoning behind this decision.
- (7) What projects and grants have been delivered by the (a) Moncrieff, (b) Taylor and (c) Throsby, Mingle programs and to what cost.

Ms Berry: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The actual expenditure for the community development program "Mingle" operated by the Suburban Land Agency in the budget year 2018 19 was \$223,775 ex GST. This covers Moncrieff, Throsby, Taylor, Lawson, Wright and Coombs.
- (2) The budgeted expenditure for the Mingle program in the 2019-20 year is \$756,355 ex GST. This covers Moncrieff, Throsby, Taylor, Lawson, Wright and Coombs.

Total Mingle Budget Expenditure (Ex GST)	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
SLA	\$756,355	\$1,043,535	\$703,909	\$553,869

- (3) The table below lists the breakdown on each program in Moncrieff, Throsby and Taylor:

Actual Mingle Expenditure (Ex GST)	2018-19	2019-20
Moncrieff	\$63,160	\$27,327
Throsby	\$13,694	\$2,112
Taylor	\$450	\$2,370

- (4) Total staff employed to operate the Mingle program is currently equivalent to 4.5 FTEs. Of these, the equivalent of 2.5 FTE staff are employed to operate the program across Moncrieff, Taylor and Throsby. Staff manage the program across the suburbs within a region, rather than being dedicated to a specific suburb.
- (5) Each Mingle program has a planned year to transition to the community to self-run. The timing is flexible to ensure that the community is in the best position to achieve self-sustainability.
 - Moncrieff – estimated to complete in 2021
 - Taylor – estimated to complete in 2024
 - Throsby – estimated to complete in 2021-22

(6) As above.

(7) The SLA coordinates a Community Development Assistance Program (rather than a formal grants process) for Mingle to partner with local residents to create resident led activities that align with Mingle Program objectives. All activities are co-designed and delivered with residents. Below is a list of grants offered during 2018-19 and the year to date for 2019-20.

See [Attachment A](#) for breakdown of projects (events and activities) delivered across Moncrieff, Taylor and Throsby for 2018-19 and the year to date in 2019-20.

2018-19		
Recipient	Project Purpose/Summary	Amount (Inc GST)
Molonglo Valley Markets	Funds provided for market promotion, entertainment, basic supplies and waste management for four local markets held at Stromlo Cottage in 2018-19.	\$3,700
Molonglo Valley Community Carols	Funds provided for insurance, audio-visual, hall hire, promotion and basic supplies. This was the fourth year this event had been run.	\$2,600
Moncrieff Neighbourhood Watch	Funds provided for 10 Neighbourhood Watch signs to be produced and installed throughout Moncrieff to raise awareness of neighbourhood safety.	\$800
Molonglo Valley Diwali	Funds provided for local residents to deliver the Festival of Lights celebration and help educate the wider community about the meaning of Diwali.	\$1,100
Molonglo Valley Knitting Group	Funds provided to a group of seniors who created woollen blankets to donate to Stromlo Cottage and the Canberra Hospital.	\$200

2019-20 (as at end November 2019)		
Recipient	Project Purpose/Summary	Amount (Inc GST)
Moncrieff	Neighbourhood Watch signage for the suburb	\$797.50
Throsby	N/A	Nil
Taylor	N/A	Nil

(A copy of the attachment is available at the Chamber Support Office).

Municipal services—ovals (Question No 2828)

Mr Milligan asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 29 November 2019 (redirected to the Minister for Education and Early Childhood Development):

In relation to maintenance of an oval in Waramanga, bounded by Badimara Street and Namatjira Street and next to St John Vianney's Catholic Primary School (a) who is responsible for the maintenance of this oval, (b) can the Minister explain why the oval has been allowed to reach its state of disrepair when it is located adjacent to two well maintained ovals, (c) why is the oval not maintained to a minimum level of community safety and (d) why has no priority been given to repairing the fence to minimise incursion by vehicles.

Ms Berry: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

1.
 - a. The oval, located at the corner of Badimara Street and Namatjira Drive in Waramanga, forms part of the grounds to Mt Stromlo High School. General maintenance and upkeep of this oval is managed by the school.
 - b. Current rainfall patterns have made it increasingly difficult and expensive for schools to maintain natural grass ovals. Mt Stromlo High School has access to the adjacent Transport Canberra and City Services irrigated ovals to meet their sporting and outdoor curriculum needs.
 - c. There are no community safety issues associated with maintenance, although all users should take reasonable care when using any open public space.
 - d. The Education Support Office will work with the school to repair the fencing.

Transport Canberra—bus data (Question No 2829)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Transport, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What is the (a) financial and (b) greenhouse gas, cost per kilometre of operating a Transport Canberra bus in normal operation, broken down by bus type (if possible).
- (2) Has the ACT Government determined the capital cost per kilometre of Transport Canberra bus operation (or similar metric of capital cost, e.g. per passenger carried); if so can the Minister provide the information broken down by component e.g. bus depot, vehicle etc.
- (3) Has any life cycle analysis been done by or on behalf of the ACT Government of the environmental impacts of operating the Transport Canberra bus network; if so, can the Minister provide details.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) (a) The operating cost of a bus per kilometre for buses in 2018-19 was on average \$5.67, a breakdown by bus type is not available.

(b) The total emissions for the bus network in 2018-19 was 33,201 tCO₂-e with 27,678,621 network kilometres recorded. This equates to an average of 1.2 kg CO₂-e/km. Currently there is no financial cost associated with greenhouse gas emissions for the ACT Government, however an interim price of \$20/t CO₂-e from Government operations will be invested to meet the Zero Emissions Government target from 2020-21 under Action 5.11 of the ACT Climate Change Strategy.

- (2) No. All decisions on capital investment are consistent with the Capital Framework and are examined and supported by the Infrastructure Finance and Capital Works Division. Where applicable capital investments are supported by detailed assessments including but not limited to a cost benefit ratio and a Net Present Value calculation.

A capital cost per Kilometre is not assessed as the per kilometre metric is by nature an operating measure.

- (3) No life cycle analysis has been completed.

**Light rail—data
(Question No 2830)**

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Transport, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) In relation to the ACT government submission paper to Infrastructure Australia in 2012 entitled City to Gungahlin Transit Corridor Infrastructure Australia Project Submission, are there any conclusions or major assumptions of this paper that would now be different because of knowledge gained by the construction and operation of Light Rail Stage 1; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (2) In relation to the paper written in 2014 by the ACT Government entitled Capital Metro Full Business Case, are there any conclusions or major assumptions of this paper that would now be different because of knowledge gained by the construction and operation of Light Rail Stage 1; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (3) Have any studies been done by or on behalf of the ACT Government on the greenhouse gas implications of operation of Light Rail in the ACT apart from the CURF study; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (4) Have there been any studies done by or on behalf of the ACT government comparing greenhouse gas emissions of bus rapid transit with Light Rail; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (5) Was any life cycle analysis done by or on behalf of the ACT Government of the environmental impacts of the Light Rail Stage 1 project; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (6) Has the Government undertaken any other type of analysis of the total environmental impact, including greenhouse gas emissions, of Light Rail Stage 1, including upstream impacts such as impact of imported materials such as concrete and steel; if so, can the Minister provide details.
- (7) What is the financial cost per kilometre of operating a Light Rail vehicle in normal operation.
- (8) What is the total greenhouse gas cost per kilometre of operating a Light Rail vehicle in normal operation, including through electricity use.
- (9) Has the Government done any planning or investigation for providing some limited stops or express running for Light Rail Stages 1 or 2; if so, what issues would it present.

- (10) How long does it take for each additional Light Rail stop, including dwell time at the station and delay due to acceleration and deceleration time.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) A comprehensive review of the City to Gungahlin Transit Corridor Infrastructure Australia Project Submission (2012) has not been undertaken by the ACT Government since the commencement of light rail operations to determine this.
- (2) A comprehensive review of the Capital Metro Full Business Case (2014) has not been undertaken by the ACT Government since the commencement of light rail operations to determine this.
- (3) Specific studies and information related to greenhouse gas implications of light rail in the ACT, prepared with the support of the ACT Government, include:
 - i. Flannery, D., Duarte, R., Norman, B., O'Donnell, T., Sinclair, H. and Steffen, W., 2015, Light rail transit and residential density in mid-size cities, Canberra Urban and Regional Futures, University of Canberra.
 - ii. Steffen, W., Percival, T, Flannery, D., 2015, Greenhouse gas emission reduction from Canberra's light rail project, Australian Planner, Taylor & Francis.
 - iii. Part C, Chapters 11 - Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases, 17 - Land Use, and 18 - Climate Change, of the Capital Metro Light Rail Stage 1 Environmental Impact Assessment consider the project impact in these areas in accordance with Section 212 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* and other relevant legislation.

The Capital Metro Full Business Case (2014) identifies emissions as a key problem and benefit of the project (Chapter 4). The economic analysis within the business case quantifies these benefits through transport benefits analysis whereby reduction in kilometres travelled and a more compact city reduce direct emissions, particularly given the assumptions light rail vehicles are powered through renewable energy sources.

(4) No.

(5) No.

(6) No.

(7) This is commercially sensitive information and not publicly disclosed.

(8) This is commercially sensitive information and not publicly disclosed.

(9) No.

(10) Stationary (dwell) time at stops is planned as 20 to 25 seconds on average, and optimised through operational practice. The total time for each stop including acceleration and deceleration time is variable by stop design and surrounding environment including signals, track curvature and gradient.

Municipal services—upgrades (Question No 2831)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Urban Renewal, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) How much did the recent temporary upgrades to Woolley Street, Dickson, cost, broken down by major component.
- (2) How much did the recent temporary upgrades to Dickson Pool cost, broken down by major component.
- (3) Who is responsible for the watering for the relocated trees in the Dickson Pool forecourt; if the Pool operator is responsible, is the ACT Government providing funding assistance to cover staffing and water costs.
- (4) If the four trees transplanted to the Pool forecourt do not flourish, will they be replaced, or permanently removed.
- (5) Was any safety analysis conducted in relation to the placement of the bike racks adjacent to Cowper Street in Dickson.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) The City Renewal Authority is responsible for the recent temporary work at Woolley Street. They have provided the information below.

	Cost ex GST
Design (including consultants and development of final sketch plans)	\$168,000
Construction	\$538,000
Communications (including consultants)	\$94,000
Events (including consultants)	\$143,000
Project management (including administration and on site staff)	\$237,000
Total cost	\$1,180,000

- (2) The cost of delivering the improvements in response to community feedback at the Dickson Pool forecourt are detailed in the table below (including GST):

	Cost incl GST
Design fees	\$19,800
Artwork	\$6,600
Construction works	\$204,123
Maintenance	\$2,090
Total cost	\$232,613
Tree transplanting by City Renewal Authority	\$44,000

- (3) The ACT Government is responsible for irrigating the transplanted trees.
- (4) The arborist contracted to transplant the trees provides a 12 month tree replacement warranty, guaranteeing replacement if the tree fails.

- (5) The pool forecourt improvements have been designed by a professional landscape architecture consultancy in accordance with all applicable safety standards for temporary installations in a public place. A Design Safety Assessment was undertaken as part of the design. It should be noted the bicycle racks were relocated in late November 2019 closer to the Dickson Pool entrance for the convenience of pool visitors to increase utilisation.

**Canberra—flood management
(Question No 2832)**

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Planning and Land Management, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) Does the ACT Government have a figure for the total storm damage claims made to insurance companies as a result of the 25 February 2018 storm.
- (2) How much of the flooding that took place in North Canberra east of Northbourne Avenue as a result of the 25 February 2018 storm was riverine flooding and (a) what other factors contributed to the storm damage in that area and (b) did overland flows account for the majority of damages to private property.
- (3) To what extent has climate change and the percentage of impervious area in the catchment been factored in to the latest flood maps for the Sullivan's Creek Catchment (e.g. those used for the Section 72 renewal process and those available on ACTMapi).
- (4) Is the ACT stormwater system digitised to the quality required for flood forecasting; if not, are there any plans to do so.
- (5) In the Section 72 Dickson plan for proposed services, the consultants have assumed a future capacity. How many apartments in total will the duplicate trunk sewer line and other service upgrades cater for.

Mr Gentleman: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) No, the Government does not record or otherwise have access to private insurance claims made by Territory residents.
- (2) Flooding was caused by a combination of riverine flooding (from Sullivans Creek and major tributaries) and overland flows between properties, streets and other land uses. Partial blockage of some systems was also a factor but is not unusual or unexpected.

As the ACT Government only has records of what damage occurred on public land, it would be hard to make a definitive comment on the damage caused to private property. Despite the storm being very large (estimates vary up to a once in one thousand year rainfall event for some areas) the public stormwater system coped well overall with minimal damage.

- (3) Flood studies are done in accordance with Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR). ARR has techniques for dealing with the impact of climate change. Impervious surfaces are included in the flood modelling by calibrating flood models to actual

flood events i.e. making sure the model can re-produce the gauged flows. Calibration includes accounting for the impervious area in a catchment. Canberra is very well placed for calibration of flood models with extensive flow gauging network and many years of flow record.

There is an inherent uncertainty in flood modelling because of the nature of the climate/weather, changing catchment features, length of rainfall and flow record, blockages etc etc. Infrastructure planning takes this uncertainty into account. ARR calls for a 'freeboard' allowance over and above the calculated flood levels to account for all these variables.

The February 2018 flood event was historically significant and was the largest recorded flood in the catchment. The flood maps previously produced will need to be updated based on the latest guidelines and this significant flood event.

- (4) The ACT stormwater system does not utilise digital monitoring for the purpose of flood forecasting and it is not required for this purpose. There are currently no plans to incorporate digital monitoring as part of the ACT stormwater system to support flood forecasting.
- (5) Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate (EPSDD) has undertaken a range of planning and servicing to guide the urban renewal of Section 72 Dickson in consultation with the community and key stakeholders. The Infrastructure Report for Block 25 Section 72 Dickson (Cardno, April 2019) was prepared to inform the planning and site servicing for Common Ground being delivered on Block 25 Section 72 Dickson. The report caters for a mixed use Community Facility development of 40 dwellings with approximately 1,150m² commercial/office uses for Common Ground 2. The report also identifies future potential stages as including Block 6 and Block 22, noting that the future use of these sites is yet to be determined and remains subject to further community engagement, decisions by the Salvation Army and further planning and design, in order to ensure that any upgrades required for Common Ground also addressed future capacity options.

The capacity of Icon Water's sewer infrastructure and any other service upgrades will be subject to utility service providers' requirements, engineering feasibility, design and civil works processes. Icon Water have indicated that the existing sewerage network will require some augmentation in the future, and could be triggered by Common Ground, or by other developments, depending on the construction timing. The augmentations have already been identified by Icon Water as part of their planning for growth.

Budget—gender clinic funding (Question No 2833)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Minister for Social Inclusion and Equality, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) In relation to the 2017-18 Budget papers which allocated funding to A Gender Agenda (AGA), what are the plans for 2020-21 where no funding has been allocated.
- (2) What assurances can be provided that a dedicated intersex worker position will continue to be funded and remain in the community in the longer term.

- (3) What funding, if any is provided to assist AGA to run their Friday centre (gender clinic).

Mr Barr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) ACT Health Directorate recently renewed its services funding agreement with A Gender Agenda for a three-year period from July 2019 to June 2022.
- (2) The ACT Government has provided a grant to A Gender Agenda to continue the employment of a dedicated intersex worker position in that organisation in 2020.
- (3) A Gender Agenda operates the Friday Centre with funding from their services agreement with the ACT Health Directorate. The Friday Centre operates out of a space in Havelock House in Turner subsidised by an ACT Government grant.

Community sector—social compact (Question No 2834)

Ms Le Couteur asked the Chief Minister, upon notice, on 29 November 2019
(*redirected to the Minister for Community Services and Facilities*):

In relation to the Social Compact previously developed in partnership with the community sector (a) is it still a current document, (b) how is it used to inform Government decisions about procurement and interacting with the not-for-profit community sector and (c) when will it be renewed, reviewed or refreshed.

Ms Orr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- a. Yes, the Social Compact is still current.
- b. The Social Compact is highly regarded by the community sector and government. It is used by relationship managers in their dealings with community sector members as a guide to the principles that underpin the way we work together and what we can expect as we progress our joint work.
- c. The Social Compact is being refreshed. The Community Services Directorate and Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate are working together to produce an updated version. The Social Compact continues to promote the ACT Government's collective commitment to a strong and effective relationship that benefits all Canberrans and communities in the ACT.

Community services—venue hire (Question No 2835)

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for Community Services and Facilities, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What were the hiring costs for the Weston Creek Community Centre (both with and without the use of the kitchen) for each year in the past 10 years and, if the hiring costs have increased, what are the reasons for the increase in costs.

- (2) Is the Weston Community Hub available for bookings to the public; if so, what has been the hiring costs (both with and without the use of the kitchen, if applicable) for each year in the past 10 years and, if the hiring costs have increased, what are the reasons for the increase in costs.

Ms Orr: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) While the Weston Creek Community Centre is an ACT Government asset, the ACT Government is not responsible for hire costs and hire arrangements at the facility as these rest with the community-based organisation managing the facility.

The community-based organisation that manages the facility advertises the following pricing on their website;

MAIN HALL (378.27m2)			
- as a Performance Hall – No Kitchen, Tables & Chairs			
REQUIRMENT	TIMES	COMMUNITY	BUSINESS/GOVT
Hourly	before 5pm	\$38	\$43
Hourly	after 5pm	\$43	\$48
Half Day	9am-1pm or 1pm-5pm	\$120	\$140
Evening	5pm-9pm	\$140	\$160
Whole Day	9am-5pm	\$220	\$260

MAIN HALL and KITCHEN (400.70m2)			
- as an Exhibition/Conference/Function with Kitchen, Tables & Chairs			
REQUIRMENT	TIMES	COMMUNITY	BUSINESS/GOVT
Hourly		\$85	\$95
Half Day	9am-1pm or 1pm-5pm	\$250	\$300
Evening Meeting Mon-Thu only	5pm-9pm	\$260	\$310
Whole Day	9am-5pm	\$450	\$500
Evening Function/Party	4pm-Midnight	\$500	\$560
	5 hour minimum	\$400	
	setting up fee	\$30 per hour	\$40 per hour

(2) See (1).

**Roads—traffic calming
(Question No 2836)**

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 29 November 2019: *(redirected to the Minister for Roads and Active Travel)*

- (1) What is the current status of installing speed humps and when will installation be completed, in relation to the recent traffic studies that have recommended Cartwright Street receive speed humps.
- (2) Does the ACT Government have any plans to install any other calming measures on this street; if so, (a) what and (b) when; if not, (i) why not and (ii) what other measures will the ACT Government take to improve road and traffic safety on this street.
- (3) Does the ACT Government have any plans to install speed limit signs on Cartwright street; if yes, when; if not, why not.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Current status as follows:
 - a) The recommended speed hump within the Charnwood-Dunlop school zone has been implemented.
 - b) The recommended speed hump in the vicinity of MacIntyre Place will be implemented in a future program.
 - c) The recommended pedestrian refuge island in the vicinity of the Brindabella Christian College will be considered for implementation under the Schools Program.
 - (2) No. It is anticipated that the recommended treatments, when implemented, will reduce travelling speeds and improve road safety for all road users.
 - (3) No. Cartwright Street is a minor collector road and the default 50km/h speed limit applies on this road. The current policy in the ACT is to only signpost streets that have a speed limit higher or lower than the default speed limit. The primary reason for not signposting 50km/h roads is to provide a consistent message to motorists that if you do not see a speed limit sign, then the speed limit is the default 50km/h limit. This approach is consistent with the practice in other jurisdictions across Australia.
-

**Municipal services—signage
(Question No 2837)**

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 29 November 2019 *(redirected to the Minister for Health)*:

- (1) Which local shops in the Ginninderra electorate have “No Smoking” signs erected on-site, and how many.
- (2) Does the ACT Government have any plans to install more “No Smoking” signage in public enclosed spaces at local shops to remind visitors of smoking laws; if so, where; if not, what measures will the ACT Government take to enforce smoking laws at local shops.
- (3) What is the estimated cost of installing a “No Smoking” sign – both against a wall and on a post.
- (4) Will the ACT Government install a “No Smoking” sign in the area outside Coffee Guru and Bernie’s from the Bay at Charnwood Shopping Centre; if so, when; if not, what measures will the ACT Government take to enforce smoking laws in this area.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member’s question is as follows:

- 1) This information is not collected by the ACT Government. Businesses may install their own ‘no smoking’ signs on their premises as they deem appropriate.
- 2) The ACT Government has installed ‘no smoking’ signs where required to enforce the provisions of the *Smoke-Free Public Places Act 2003* (the Act). The Government has no immediate plans, nor has a need been identified, to install additional ‘no smoking’ signs in enclosed public places. The Government may supply additional ‘no smoking’ signage to businesses on request to encourage non-smoking behaviours.

ACT Policing and Access Canberra may respond to alleged breaches of the Act.

Access Canberra will also respond to public complaints regarding alleged breaches of the Act and may undertake investigation and enforcement action as necessary.
- 3) Where required for a specific location, the Government would seek a quote for the cost of producing and installing a ‘no smoking’ sign. This is done in accordance with relevant procurement policies and procedures. The costs of producing and installing signs will vary subject to the specific location and procurement.
- 4) The ACT Government has no plans to install a ‘no smoking’ sign in the area described. Under section 9E of the Act, the occupiers of the two food businesses are responsible for ensuring that ‘no smoking’ signs are prominently displayed in their outdoor eating and drinking places.

For enforcement matters, please see response to question two.

Municipal services—shade sails (Question No 2838)

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) Has the ACT Government received any requests for the metal structure outside Coffee Guru/Bernie’s from the Bay to be replaced by a shade structure for outdoor seating; if so, how many requests have been received over the past three years.

- (2) Will the ACT Government consider improving amenities at Charnwood shops by providing shade sails in this area; if not, why not.
- (3) What is the estimated cost of (a) installing shade sails in this area and (b) removing the existing metal structure.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) No.
- (2) No. Amenity improvements to the value of \$230,000 were made at Charnwood shops in 2016-17 to improve accessibility and safety. All local shopping precincts have been assessed and rated according to a range of criteria to determine relative priorities for upgrades and installing shade sails at Charnwood shops has not been identified as a priority.
- (3) The estimated cost is:
 - (a) Installing shades would have an estimated cost of \$12,000 to \$26,000 depending on the size of the shade structure.
 - (b) Removing the existing metal artwork will have an estimated cost of \$9,000.

National Multicultural Festival—preparations (Question No 2839)

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for Multicultural Affairs, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) In relation to applications for stalls to participate in the 2020 National Multicultural Festival, how many (a) applications were received for stalls, (b) applications were rejected, (c) were rejected due to miscommunication and/or administrative errors by the applicant, (d) rejected applicants were given a reason for rejection, (e) rejected applicants were given the opportunity to amend their application and resubmit, (f) rejected applicants resubmitted and were subsequently successful in their application.
- (2) In relation to applications for performances to participate in the 2020 National Multicultural Festival, how many (a) applications were received for performances, (b) applications were rejected, (c) applications were rejected due to miscommunication and/or administrative errors by the applicant, (d) rejected applicants were given a reason for rejection, (e) rejected applicants were given the opportunity to amend their application and resubmit, (f) rejected applicants resubmitted and were subsequently successful in their application.
- (3) How many (a) stallholders will be participating at the 2020 National Multicultural Festival, (b) stalls are information stalls, (c) stalls are community stalls, (d) stalls are cultural community stalls, (e) stalls are community organisation stalls and (f) stalls are commercial stalls.
- (4) How many performing groups will be participating at the 2020 National Multicultural Festival.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

1. In regard to stallholder applications received as at 9 December 2019:
 - a. a total of 320 applications have been received. 13 of these were subsequently withdrawn.
 - b. 25 were unsuccessful.
 - c. Seven were non-compliant.
 - d. All applicants received correspondence from the NMF team advising of the outcome of their application.
 - e. Five
 - f. Zero.
2. In regard to applications for performances received as at 9 December 2019:
 - a. a total of 160 applications have been received;
 - b. 67 were unsuccessful;
 - c. nil;
 - d. all applicants received correspondence from the NMF team advising of the outcome of their application;
 - e. all applications were considered based on suitability, availability and pricing. Applicants were either accepted or not accepted on this basis; and
 - f. See answer to e.
3. In regard to the current number and breakdown of stallholders participating at the 2020 National Multicultural Festival (NMF):

For the 2020 NMF, community organisations, associations and/or commercial operators could apply for a stall under one of seven categories:

1. Community Food and/ or Culturally Relevant Items;
 2. Community Food and/ or Liquor;
 3. Community Clubs;
 4. Information;
 5. Commercial Food and/ or Culturally Relevant Items;
 6. Commercial Food and/ or Liquor; and
 7. Diplomatic.
- a. 275 stallholders participating in the 2020 NMF have applied under of the seven categories list above.
 - b. 49 stallholders have applied under Category 4: Information
 - c. 86 stallholders have applied under Category 1, 2 and 3.
 - d. All community organisations or associations, including cultural communities were able to apply for a stall under Category 1, 2 and 3. Refer to the response for (c).

- e. All community organisations or associations were able to apply for a stall under Category 1, 2 and 3. Refer to the response for (c).
- f. 61 are commercial stalls under category 5 and 6.

Please note this information is subject to change.

- 4. Currently, there are 160 performing groups participating at the 2020 National Multicultural Festival. Please note this information is subject to change.

Additionally, there are 13 Showcases organised by community.

**Trees—removal
(Question No 2840)**

Mrs Kikkert asked the Minister for City Services, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What has been the nature of damage caused by the trees located outside Woolworths supermarket at Charnwood Shopping Centre, as well as the relating repair costs for the years (a) 2014-15, (b) 2015-16, (c) 2016-17, (d) 2017-18, (e) 2018-19 and (f) 2019 to the date this question was published.
- (2) Does the ACT Government have any plans to remove these trees; if so, when; if not, why not, and what measures will the ACT Government take to ensure this area of Charnwood shops is safe from hazards, more accessible and not in need of costly and preventable reparations on a regular basis.

Mr Steel: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) Transport Canberra and City Services (TCCS) have conducted multiple repairs to pavers that have been displaced by tree roots at the Charnwood Shopping Centre from 2014 to present. There is no specific location data readily available on paver repairs related to the trees located outside the Woolworths supermarket.
- (2) No. The trees are healthy, structurally sound and provide a broad range of benefits to visitors to the Charnwood Shopping Centre. In particular, the presence of mature, shady trees mitigates health hazards associated with the concentration of heat in urban areas. In this location and others across the city, TCCS is investigating options to increase the size of the tree surrounds and install permeable, flexible surrounds that minimise trip hazards, promote tree health and reduce the frequency of repairs to hard pavements.

**Mental health—cannabis
(Question No 2841)**

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What are the links between cannabis use and mental illnesses such as schizophrenia.
- (2) What advice did the Minister provide to Government about the health risks associated with cannabis use.

- (3) Was the advice that the Minister provided to the Government consistent with the research that the Commonwealth Minister for Health forwarded to the Chief Minister.
- (4) What studies has the Government undertaken to assess whether the legalisation of cannabis will lead to (a) increased levels of usage and (b) therefore increased demand for mental health services; if none, why.

If studies were undertaken (a) what were the outcomes and (b) to what extent did they inform the government's position on legalisation of cannabis.

- (5) What consideration was given to whether the Government's legalisation of cannabis would be perceived by the public as giving permission for, or condoning, its use; if none, why; if consideration was given, (a) what was the outcome and (b) what did the Government do to mitigate those perceptions.
- (6) What education programs about the risks of using cannabis will be mounted before the legislation to legalise cannabis becomes law.

Ms Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

1. Based on its reviews of the evidence on the health effects of cannabis, The World Health Organization (WHO) has concluded that: "cannabis use can exacerbate schizophrenia in affected individuals".

In addition, The US National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine (US National Academies), based on a review published in 2017, concluded that: "Cannabis use is likely to increase the risk of developing schizophrenia and other psychoses; the higher the use the greater the risk."

The National Academies also noted that the relationship between cannabis, cannabis use disorder and psychoses is likely to be "multidirectional and complex", and that genetic factors may influence risk. The National Academies committee also remarked that: "it is noteworthy to state that in certain societies, the incidence of schizophrenia has remained stable over the past 50 years despite the introduction of cannabis into those settings."

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare has estimated that 1.6 per cent of the burden of disease due to schizophrenia in Australia is attributable to cannabis use, based on 2011 Australian data.

With regard to mental health conditions other than schizophrenia, the US National Academies 2017 review concluded that:

- Cannabis use does not appear to increase the likelihood of developing depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder;
- For individuals diagnosed with bipolar disorders, near daily cannabis use may be linked to greater symptoms of bipolar disorder than non-users;
- Heavy cannabis users are more likely to report thoughts of suicide than non-users; and
- Regular cannabis use is likely to increase the risk for developing social anxiety disorder.

It should be noted that 'disorders' are more severe longer term health effects, and may differ from immediate effects.

2. The advice considered by Government included information that the ACT Health Directorate provided on the health risks of cannabis consistent with 2017 large scale research evidence reviews conducted by the World Health Organisation and the US National Academies, the Oxford Specialist Handbook on Addiction Medicine (2016), and the Oxford University Press book Marijuana Legalization: What Everyone Needs to Know (2016).

The Government also considered the findings of the ACT Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on Health, Ageing and Community Services' *Inquiry into Drugs of Dependence (Personal Cannabis Use) Amendment Bill 2018*.

3. The information provided by Minister Hunt on the health effects of cannabis was largely consistent with information sourced by ACT Health Directorate, and is the advice considered by Government alongside the advice received from community stakeholders.
4. ACT Health Directorate undertook policy analysis of the potential population health effects of the legislation using existing available studies of the health impact of cannabis de criminalisation. This included review of:
 - Evidence of the impact of reduced cannabis penalties on cannabis use rates;
 - Theoretical mechanisms of policy impact — for example economic theory of supply and demand for criminalised products;
 - Data on cannabis use in the ACT, including cannabis use rates following earlier decriminalisation measures;
 - Consideration of the social determinants of health, which indicate, for example, that reduced socioeconomic circumstances, which can result from a criminal record, contribute to poorer health outcomes over the long term.
 - Consideration of the potential health impacts of the legislative amendments including:
 - Making it illegal to store cannabis within reach of children;
 - Making it illegal to expose children to second-hand cannabis smoke, or to smoke in a public place;
 - The potentially reduced stigma for cannabis users that may encourage them to come forward for treatment; and
 - The high numbers of people who have already tried cannabis in the ACT, or who use it already, finding it easier to source.

Evidence reviewed by ACTHD did not demonstrate a causal relationship between reducing criminal penalties for personal cannabis use and any long-term, population-wide increase in cannabis use.

In its submission to the ACT Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on Health, Ageing and Community Services, the Australian Medical Association (AMA) stated: “It is often thought that criminal penalties are a deterrent to cannabis use and, therefore, an effective way to prevent the health impacts and other harms associated with cannabis use. These beliefs have little foundation.”

Similarly, the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), concluded from a review of changes to cannabis personal use penalties in European Union (EU) countries that: “The legal impact hypothesis, in its simplest form, predicts

that increased penalties will decrease drug use and reduced penalties will increase drug use. However, in the original analysis (2011), and an updated version (2017), no simple association can be found between legal changes and the prevalence of cannabis use (among 15–34-year-olds in the EU).”

5. See answers to Questions 4 and 6.
6. The ACT Government has consistently been clear in its public messaging that this change is not about condoning cannabis use but rather focussed on reducing the harm associated with the criminalisation of cannabis users. From an evidence-based perspective however, the concept of ‘condoning’ cannabis use has limited value compared to research on how changes to cannabis penalties affect cannabis use in real world settings.

Diversion from the criminal justice system for minor drug offences has been part of the ACT Government approach to drug policy since 1989 when Simple Cannabis Offence Notice commenced. Cannabis use has declined substantially in the ACT since that time.

Nevertheless, the Government agrees that the legislation should not be interpreted as suggesting that cannabis use is ‘harmless’. The Government is preparing communications on how the laws have changed, including the health risks of cannabis and how people can seek support to address these. The Government does not believe that cannabis is a ‘harmless’ drug.

Communication will be delivered in stages, commencing with information on why the legislation is changing and what the change means for Canberrans. The second stage will provide information on the health impacts of cannabis use and let Canberrans know where they can access drug and alcohol help and support services.

Mental health—facilities (Question No 2842)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Mental Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What is the status of the work to (a) upgrade the Keaney Building and (b) relocate the adult mental health unit, at Calvary Public Hospital Bruce (CPHB).
- (2) Is the work in part (1) progressing according to (a) budget and (b) timeline; if no (a) why and (b) to what extent.
- (3) Has the work in part (1) reduced the temporary capacity of the Adult Mental Health Unit at CPHB; if so (a) by how much and (b) for how long.
- (4) What is the progress of the refurbishment of Brian Hennessy House and is it progressing according to (a) budget and (b) timeline; if not, (i) why and (ii) what extent.
- (5) What progress has been made in relation to the gazettal of Calvary Hospital emergency department to allow it to take emergency detention or correctional patients.

Mr Rattenbury: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1)
 - (a) The work to upgrade the Keaney Building is nearing completion.
 - (b) The new Adult Mental Health Unit was formally handed over to Calvary Public Hospital Bruce in December 2019 as planned. To ensure patient safety, comfort and stability across the Christmas period, the transfer of patients was postponed until 8 January 2020. The transfer has now been completed and there were no operational impacts for Calvary associated with the postponement.
- (2)
 - (a) The work was completed within budget.
 - (b) The work was completed on time.
- (3) The project did not impact the capacity of the Adult Mental Health Unit.
- (4)
 - (a) The project expenditure is within the allocated budget.
 - (b) The project will be completed on time. Designs have been completed for the refurbishment of the 10-bed Extended Care Unit (ECU) at the Brian Hennessy Rehabilitation Centre (BHRC) and early works have commenced on-site. Construction is scheduled to be completed in November 2020, subject to operational constraints.
- (5) Consideration of gazettal of the Calvary Public Hospital Bruce Emergency Department under the *Mental Health Act (2015)* will take into account the recommendations of work being undertaken currently to review models of care and service delivery and growth in demand for mental health services in the ACT.

Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders—residential rehabilitation facility (Question No 2843)

Mrs Dunne asked the Minister for Health, upon notice, on 29 November 2019:

- (1) What is the progress of the scoping study into Winunga Nimmityjah developing an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Residential Rehabilitation facility.
- (2) Why is it that the ACT does not have a residential rehabilitation facility more than a decade after the Assembly appropriated money for such a facility and the Government then spending \$12 million building one that cannot be used for its intended purpose.
- (3) What is the level of demand in the community to establish a residential rehabilitation facility for the general community.

Mr Stephen-Smith: The answer to the member's question is as follows:

- (1) ACT Health Directorate executed a contract on 28 November 2019 with Winnunga Nimmityjah Aboriginal Health and Community Services to draft and undertake

consultations on a model of care for an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Specific Residential Rehabilitation Facility. Under this contract a final Model of Care is to be delivered by July 2020.

- (2) In relation to the purpose of the Ngunnawal Bush Healing Farm as noted by Minister Gentleman in 2014:

“The farm will not be a place for medical treatment or to detoxify. Rather, it will be a place free of drugs and alcohol, with a strong focus on Aboriginal spirituality and culture. It will include a strong focus on agriculture and connection to the land.”

- (3) ACT has three residential rehabilitation services for the general community and a residential rehabilitation service for young people. This is in addition to a number of day rehabilitation programs. However, unlike other jurisdictions, the ACT does not have an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander specific residential rehabilitation facility, which is an identified gap in service provision for the ACT.

Data from the 2017-18 national minimum data set for alcohol and drug treatment indicates that 14 per cent of closed treatment episodes for residential rehabilitation were for people who identified as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait islander.

The ACT Health Directorate is also aware of a number of people seeking culturally specific treatment interstate due to the lack of a culturally specific facility in the ACT. Given this, a culturally specific residential rehabilitation facility in the ACT could increase access and improve outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples seeking treatment for substance dependence issues.
